Heritage and Destiny

£4.00 / $8.00 Issue 93 | Stand Men of the West – Today is the day we fight! | November-December 2019

Is this the most pro-Zionist UK Government In History?

Edward Hawkins reports – *see pages 18-19*

also in this issue:

The prosecution of John Kingsley Read

Peter Rushton reports – *see pages 8-11*

and:

The new Bonnie & Clyde movie *Highwaymen* reviewed by Mark Cotterill – *see page 24*

Editor: Mark Cotterill; Assistant Editor: Peter Rushton
Webmaster: Peter Rushton; Sales Manager: Anne Wright
Patrons: Dr. James Lewthwaite, J.R. Bell, Peter Marshall Ph.D., David King, Dale Hildebeitel, A. Gary Clarke
Address: 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, Great Britain.
Tel: +44 (0) 7833 677484; Website address: **www.heritageanddestiny.com**
ISSN 1741-8941; Electronic Mail: **heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com**
Twitter: **@h_d_magazine**
Opinions expressed in articles are the authors' own, and should not be taken to represent *H&D's* viewpoint

Editorial

Welcome to issue 93 of *Heritage and Destiny.* With 99% of the population now completely bored with the media's almost blanket coverage of Brexit, it was nice to have a distraction from Europe with that old time favourite racism in football.

First, we were treated to Bulgarian fans, who the media claimed were linked to Combat 18, giving Roman (the media call them Nazi) salutes to England's black players (who make up at least half the team these days) during a UEFA game in Sofia.

Now I was always brought up to believe that Fascists only salute people they respect and look up to? i.e. Heil Caesar or Heil Hitler. So why on earth would these Bulgarian fans salute England's black players, whom we are told they hate?

The match was stopped on two occasions, due to these same fans making what the media described as monkey noises to England's black players, as well as saluting them! What the media did not explain was why these monkey noises were only aimed at the black players?

The next day in my local pub I asked a liberal friend of mine who was moaning about the Bulgarian fans' racism, why these monkey noises were aimed solely at the black players and not the White players? He looked very embarrassed and could not answer me!

Of course, it's not only East European football fans who can be racist. Five days later during an FA Cup match between Haringey Borough and Yeovil Town, some of Haringeys black players - including their goal keeper Douglas Pajetat - claimed they had been racially abused by a section of the travelling Yeovil supporters, so much so that they walked off the pitch, and the match was abandoned!



"Racist" Bulgarian football hooligans (*above*), salute England's black players during the recent game in Sofia and (*below*) Douglas Pajetat, Haringey's black goalkeeper, confronts "racist" Yeovil fans, during their recent FA Cup game.

Haringey Borough are one of three left-wing clubs in London who have a hard core lefty, Irish Republican and anti-fascist fan base. In an earlier match this season their chairman Aki Achillea, tried to remove a Northern Ireland flag from the ground that visiting Herne Bay supporters had brought with them. He called the flag "sectarian".

Now whether some of the visiting Yeovil fans did racially abuse the black Haringey players, is yet to be proved, although two Yeovil Town supporters have been arrested on suspicion of racially aggravated common assault.

While the media was rambling on almost non-stop about White racism, and the racial abuse of black football players, it almost completely ignored a story of real racial hatred. Four White tree surgeons working in Rochdale were violently attacked by a twenty strong gang of armed Pakistanis. One of the Whites had his hand cut off with an axe.

The leader of the mob, Habibur Rahman, incensed that he had been "disrespected" on his "territory", tracked the four Whites to the property they were working on. His mob approached armed with weapons including a claw hammer, knives, machetes, a knuckle-duster - and the fateful axe.

"I am not going to let them leave," Rahman said calling the tree surgeons "White bastards" who were in "his country". Strangely, there was no mention by Greater Manchester Police that the crimes were racially aggravated - despite his mob being Pakistani and him calling his victims "White bastards".

Sadly, it really is a case of one law for them and one law for us now.

If you are an active Nationalist, why not buy some extra copies of this issue to give out (or sell them!) at your next branch meeting – it's another great issue and should 'sell like hot cakes'! And as always, we also need your regular donations – however large or small, every Pound, Dollar or even Euro counts. Please try and send in whatever you can afford. Thanks once again for your support, together we will win.

Heritage and Destiny

***Heritage and Destiny* reflects a cross-section of 21st century racial nationalist opinion. It is independent of all political organisations and parties. Unless stated otherwise, views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Heritage and Destiny* are the sole responsibility of the writers concerned and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor or other staff.**

We welcome enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. However, the editor reserves the right to refuse to publish any advertisement submitted, without giving reasons. The appearance of any advertisement in *Heritage and Destiny* does not necessarily indicate that the editor knows anything about, endorses or is interested in the product, organisation, event or service being advertised.

Contributors and advertisers should bear in mind that *Heritage and Destiny* is subject to the laws of the United Kingdom, including our infamous race laws. Refusal to publish certain items should therefore not be taken as a personal or political affront!

The editor is pleased to receive articles for possible publication, preferably by disk or email. No payment is made for articles. Unless the writer specifically requests otherwise, articles become *Heritage and Destiny* copyright. The editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication. Those wishing to republish *Heritage and Destiny* items in other formats should be sure when doing so to give public acknowledgment to both the writer and *Heritage and Destiny* and to include in the acknowledgment the magazine's full address.

Vote British - When British Fascists Triumphed at the Ballot Box

It is often wrongly claimed that British fascists never won elections during the 20th century. In fact, the opposite is true as they won several. Arnold Spencer Leese and his friend Henry Simpson were the first constitutionally elected British fascists, elected to Stamford Borough Council during 1924. As is well known, Mr Leese eventually left Miss Rotha Lintorn-Orman's British Fascists (BF) dismissing them as "conservatism with knobs on" and he founded his own group, the Imperial Fascist League (IFL) which advocated a "Racial Fascist Corporate State" and Financial Reform. The Eight Points of Policy of the IFL were as follows:

- Provision of adequate protective forces to secure the safety of the Empire.
- Preservation of the National Character, particularly of the priceless and worldwide reputation of the Briton for Honesty.
- Deliverance from the fraud of the Gold Standard and the adoption of a Scientific Monetary System.
- Upholding Agriculture, for the Nation's sake.
- Federation of the Empire's foreign policy.
- Protection of our Industries, as requisite.
- Compulsory Arbitration in industrial disputes and cessation of industrial civil war.
- All Within the State, None Against the State.

Sir Oswald Mosley invited Leese and Henry Hamilton Beamish to speak at a meeting of his New Party-NUPA Greyshirt Youth Movement on 27 April 1932 on the subject of "The Blindness of British Politics under the Jew Money-Power" and Mosley chaired the meeting. Leese later refused to join Mosley's British Union of Fascists (BUF) when it was launched in October 1932 as he was of the view that Mosley's Blackshirts were insufficiently hardline on the "Jewish Question".

Sir Oswald would typically devote a few minutes of his hour-long speeches during the 1930s (dealing with alien immigration, poverty, mass unemployment, economics, defence, foreign affairs etc) to the "Jewish Problem" keeping a sense of proportion (in his book *Tomorrow We Live* he advocated the peaceful resettlement of Jews living in Britain and Europe to a new homeland "alternative to Palestine which is already the home of the Arabs...The Jew originates from the Orient and is more alien to us than any Western nation"), while Leese was regarded as somewhat having a "bee in his bonnet about the Jews" to say the least, due to his concern for our animal friends' suffering as a result of the cruel Jewish ritual slaughter method of Shechita.

Indeed, Leese was so fanatical and extreme in his anti-Semitism that his pre-war newspaper *The Fascist* proposed the "humane" extermination of Jews in gas chambers and his post-war newsletter *Gothic Ripples* and National Workers' Movement (NWM)/National Workers' Party (NWP) "regretted that the so-called Holocaust didn't happen"!

Mosley for his part described the IFL as "one of those crank little societies...mad about the Jews", and BUF supporters attacked an IFL meeting after Leese insulted Mosley by claiming his first wife Lady Cynthia Curzon allegedly had a "Jewish" grandfather Levi Leiter. (Mosley pointed out in response to Leese's public criticism and in reply to a hostile letter he received from Beamish that Leiter was in fact a Dutch Christian not a Jew and that the NSDAP accepted members who were part-Jewish in any case.) However, Leese, while endorsing Nazi anti-Semitism was not above criticising the Hitler-Stalin Pact and the German invasion of Norway during World War Two. He also disliked the Nazis' use of the term "National Socialism" and always used the term "Racial Fascism" to describe his ideology. Despite Mosley-Leese friction, a small number of British fascists held dual membership of both the BUF and IFL, and the BUF Blackshirt Bookshop in Canterbury sold IFL pamphlets from 1939-1940.



Two ex-Mosleyites were elected as Conservative MPs: Sir Jocelyn Lucas, Bt. (*above*) and Harold Soref (*below*).

In January 1934, the *Daily Mail* and *Daily Mirror* supported Mosley's BUF and membership rose to an estimated, astonishing 40,000-50,000 throughout Britain. The editor and owner of the *Mail* and the *Mirror*, Lord Rothermere, had pointed out to left-wing and right-wing critics alike of the new iron creed of Fascism being a "foreign import" that if our British ancestors had been equally stupid we would have had no law and no football as these were also invented by Italians, and that Fascism is a nationalist creed in every country of the world, just as the British Navy is distinct from the Italian Navy, and furthermore that the British Communists' hero Karl Marx was a German Jew.

In 1936, Mosley changed the name of his party to the British Union of Fascists and National Socialists (BUFNS) but it was more commonly known as British Union (BU) for short. The extensive policy programme of the British Union can be summed up as follows:

- Put Britain and the British Empire first.
- Give the British Government the power to act and thus carry out the will of the British People through national referendums.
- Fascism and National Socialism is the universal creed of the modern age, but in each country it has a policy, method, and character suited to that country alone because it is a national and not an international creed.
- British Union will solve the problem of unemployment and poverty by the establishment of a Corporate State of workers, employers and consumers all co-operating in the national interest and not in sectional, vested or alien interests.
- Employment will be provided for all by the demand of an increased Home Market in Britain and the Empire.
- Build a self-contained Empire with the motto "Mind Britain's Business" which is the only way to avoid wage-cutting and foreign War.
- Restore prosperity through Empire Trade and the exclusion of foreign imports.
- Revive British Agriculture through the exclusion of foreign food-stuffs and their replacement by British and Empire products.
- British Credit shall be used for British Industry and the Money System shall serve Producers and Consumers rather than the International Financiers.
- The will of the people shall be expressed in elections at regular intervals and will be carried into effect by British Union Government given the power to act. Thus action will replace talk and "Britain again will dare to be great."

Mosley's British Union won several local elections. Charles Bentinck Budd was elected in 1934 in Worthing, and always wore his full BU *Action Press* uniform to council meetings, leading the national

newspapers of the day to describe the pleasant and happy seaside Sussex town as the "Munich of the south coast" due to his electoral victory and the high local membership (150-plus card carrying members; men and women, young and old) of the party.

Another BU member Sydney Crosland was elected in Littlehampton, Sussex and his father was also a Mosley supporter and Church of England clergyman, Reverend James Crosland the Vicar of Rustington. BU activist Commander Charles Hudson won a local seat in Bognor Regis also in Sussex. Ronald Creasy was elected as a BU councillor in Eye, Suffolk in 1938 and I had the pleasure of hearing him speak at a Friends of Oswald Mosley (FOM) dinner during the 1990s in central London, together with Mosley's beautiful and elegant second wife Lady Diana. I also corresponded with her when she lived in Paris and more recently exchanged letters and emails with Mosley's son Max (on the subject of the need for long overdue Press Reform), who has always defended his late great father. Interestingly, in a recent *Guardian* newspaper interview he expressed his view that he saw nothing wrong with offering black immigrants financial incentives to return voluntarily to their homelands.

Although British Union never won a parliamentary seat during its brief eight years of existence, two former BU members – Major Sir Jocelyn Morton Lucas, Bt., and Harold Soref – were later elected as Conservative MPs to represent Portsmouth South and Ormskirk, Lancashire respectively. Both retained their strident anti-Marxist views and Mr Soref became a leading light in the influential anti-immigration Monday Club which was led by Mosley's stepson Jonathan Guinness during the 1970s. (Despite Soref being the son of Romanian-Jewish parents, he was also a firm supporter of White-ruled South Africa and Rhodesia and he opposed the IRA, while Mosley and his post-war secretary Jeffrey Hamm proposed the peaceful Union of Ireland north and south in a United Europe, subject to the approval of both Catholics and Protestants in a referendum.)

ACTION

A God-Fearing Democracy

SEE PAGE 3

"BRITAIN FIRST"

ALIENS OR BRITONS?

Shall British Money Go To Jews While Britons Starve?

SATURDAY'S CINEMA RAMP EXPOSED

Mosley Answers Roosevelt

That Square Deal

THREATENED UNREST IN RAILWAY WORLD

Mosleyite publications including the newspaper *Action* – first published as a New Party organ, later on behalf of the BUF, and later still by Union Movement – did address the 'Jewish Question', but less obsessively then the rival IFL.

In 1948, Mosley formed a new party called Union Movement (UM) which advanced a radical new policy of "European Socialism", "European Workers' Syndicalism", and "Britain First in Europe a Nation" – a new European Union for the European Peoples, independent of Global Capitalism, Usury and International Marxism.

During 1953, UM member F.B. Price-Heywood was elected as a local councillor in Grasmere, Cumbria in the Municipal Elections, and Mosley personally congratulated him on his successful election campaign in the pages of the weekly UM newspaper *Union*. In 1977, former BU member and RAF veteran Frank Cork DFC was elected as Mayor of King's Lynn, and BU veteran and UM member Bob Saunders was elected to Dorset Rural District Council during the 1950s – later he became a leading member of the National Farmers' Union and the NFU's television spokesman.

Mosley's Union Movement continued to use the slogan "Britain for the British" (first used during the BU glory days) and in 1952 campaigned for an end to non-European immigration and for the encouragement of the financially-assisted return of African and Asian immigrants who wanted help from the British Government to find good jobs and homes in their own lands. What a contrast to the Brexit Party and UKIP on the one hand who prefer non-White "Commonwealth" immigration instead of white Eastern European immigration, and those racist extremists who have no constructive or positive ideas to offer to the political debate and described by the late A.K. Chesterton, the former Mosley Blackshirt and first chairman of the National Front (NF) as "cranks and crooks who bang on about 'dirty Jews' and 'filthy niggers'." Thankfully, FOM and the League of St George (LSG) still promote some of Mosley's great ideas in the modern era to a new younger audience.

To quote FOM supporter Bill Baillie from his *Nation Revisited* blog:

Ten Points for Action.

In 1973 Action Party (Union Movement) published its manifesto *Ten Points For Action.*

1. Action to Build Houses

We want Action to solve the housing problem. It should be taken out of the hands of local authorities and entrusted to Government leadership, with powers to mass produce houses and flats like an "operation of war", turning out homes as arms and munitions were mass-produced in time of war.

2. Stop The Land and Rent Rackets

Give the Government powers to acquire land at pre-boom prices and to finance housing by low-interest charges on all non-essential and luxury buildings. Such action would bring down house prices and rents and at last provide good but cheap housing for all.

3. Stop Immigration - Start Repatriation

We want Action to ease the pressure on housing and other social problems (like the reintroduction of diseases unknown in Britain for hundreds of years) by stopping all further immigration and by repatriating all post-war immigrants to good jobs and conditions in their homelands to which prosperity has been restored by using the surplus wealth and production of united Europe. But Britain could make a start now before the complete union of Europe is achieved.

Ex-Mosleyite Frank Cork became Mayor of King's Lynn, Norfolk, in 1977

Remember that we have been advocating this policy since 1952, long before any of those now saying the same sort of thing – without the means to carry it out.

4. A Choice in Education and Health

We want Action to build good schools, colleges, universities and hospitals, just as we would mass produce houses and flats. Parents should have a choice of schools for their children. We should not be taxed to provide those health services we will never use (maternity benefits for confirmed bachelors!) but free to pay in proportion to our requirements.

5. Free Speech - Law and Order

We want Action to ensure freedom of speech for everyone, guaranteed by the Government, which has a duty to maintain law and order in the State and to take effective action against mob violence, which today denies freedom of expression to any views of which its agitators disapprove. Let us maintain local police forces with their local knowledge and experience but let us supplement them with a highly-trained, well-equipped, mobile national police force, to put down organised crime and to maintain public order.

We would ensure freedom of the Press for both newspapers and the public. Any man who felt himself misrepresented in the Press should be guaranteed (by law) equal space to reply in the newspaper concerned. This would free the public of the expense of seeking justice through costly libel action and free the newspapers from the legal blackmail of a threatened libel action by some unscrupulous racketeer.

6. Capital Punishment

The death penalty should be restored to the statute book, to be used sparingly in the case of premeditated murder. The Court of Appeal should have a solemn duty to recommend a reprieve if in any doubt. The sentence should be carried out not by hanging but by a quick and painless injection, or by some other humane method.

7. Action in Europe

To put these policies into practice Britain must advance beyond the concept of a so-called united Europe and Common Market to which the Conservative Party has at last been converted and which the Labour Party still opposes. We must advance quickly to 'Europe a Nation', which we have advocated since 1948. We stand for a union of all Europe. Our former white Dominions and southern Africa, a great "third force" in the world, independent of both America and Russia.

This "third force" should have a central government for its defence, the economy, finance and scientific development, with power to raise wages and control prices as production increases for a guaranteed market, insulated against unfair competition from the rest of the world. We need a European army, equipped with the most modern weapons, to defend our continent against attack from any quarter. This should be financed on a European budget instead of each small country straining its economy to finance its own defence.

8. National and Regional Governments

There should be independent national and regional governments for each European country and the main regions. This would enable England, Wales, Scotland, Ireland and other European countries and regions to have their own parliaments for internal affairs and for the preservation of their national and regional cultures.

9. The Irish Problem

The ultimate solution to the Irish problem is the union of that country within a united Europe. But the bloodshed must first be brought to an end by a free vote on a county basis in each of the Six Counties and a subsequent rearrangement of the border. The bulk of the Catholic population in the North would then be ruled (as is their wish) from Dublin, with a lessening of present tensions, the IRA would lose its bases in the North and the British Army would have a much shorter border to patrol against infiltration from the South. In this improved situation, agreement could more easily be reached on the eventual union of Ireland, with the rights of the then Protestant minority protected and guaranteed by European government.

10. Government of National Union

We stand for a government of national union and effective action, drawn from the whole nation; from the professions and the trade unions, arts and science, the law, and the armed forces. Government elected by the whole people alone should govern. It should have power to lead the economy, raising wages and controlling prices as science increased production. Then we will have cooperation instead of conflict in industry.

We want Action to halt the 'brain drain' and to arouse a new spirit of national service in our British people, by relating all reward directly to skill, effort, initiative and responsibility. There should be "great reward for great service", crowned by higher pensions drawn from the wealth of the new economic system, as the reward in old age for those who had loyally served the nation throughout their lives.

Sir Oswald Mosley (*above centre*) with Union Movement comrades at a 1950 meeting, including *Action* editor Jeffrey Hamm (*background, centre left*) and Ceylonese-born Otto Abeysakera (*next to Hamm*).

"Nearly fifty years later, many of these problems and their solutions remain the same, but some things have changed. The mass repatriation of immigrants is no longer possible because there are far too many of them; the old Dominions have found new markets; peace has been established in Ireland by the Good Friday Agreement; taxation has been modernised, and the Brexit fiasco has shown that a Government of National Unity is more relevant than ever. Oswald Mosley died in 1980 and the last issue of his newspaper *Action* was issued in 1992. But his books are still in print and the ideology of Union Movement is propagated by the Friends of Mosley." (NR April 2019 **https://nationrevisited.blogspot.com**)

To conclude this article here is the current policy platform of the FOM which may yet inspire British Youth to form a new party and movement to take up the "sacred flame" once more:

Mosley's Policies

Oswald Mosley died more than 35 years ago and much in the world has changed since then. Fresh challenges have arisen and new dangers threaten the people of Europe. But much of what Mosley advocated in his lifetime is still relevant to the problems we face today – and the principles he stood for offer clear guidance towards making the 21st century a Golden Age of prosperity, social justice and security.

Europe One Nation *– Mosley advocated the policy of Europe One Nation stretching from the Atlantic to the Pacific. This self-sufficient area would contain all the raw materials, food, technology and manufacturing capacity it needs to be free of chaotic world markets and exploitation by global finance. Only by adopting a leading role in what will become the most powerful civilisation the world has seen can we hope to free Britain and Europe from subordination to the major power blocs of America, China, India, the EU. This is no time to become a small country. The present European Union is corrupt, bureaucratic and unaccountable. It should be replaced by a United Europe that speaks with one voice on foreign policy, defence and essential economics – and leaves everything else to the autonomous choice of its member states.*

Multiculturalism *– Mosley believed that multiculturalism is not a viable basis for society – it robs people of all ethnic backgrounds of*

their heritage, causing a culture clash and a lack of social cohesion. Britain and Europe cannot become welfare centres for all the economic migrants of the world and the open door policy must end. The most valuable foreign aid we can give the Third World is the incentivised voluntary return of migrants to build prosperity in their countries of origin using the education and work skills they have acquired whilst here.

No interference in other zones of influence *– Global conflict between nations is frequently driven by financial rivalries. This can be avoided by the creation of 'zones of influence' where the richer superpowers such as the United States, China, India and a greater Europe (which will include Russia) each taking responsibility for assisting with the development and growth of smaller countries within their geographic spheres. The existing free-for-all created by the present system where workers migrate half way across the world in order to earn a living wage would be replaced by economic and social planning initiated and financed solely by the assisting superpower in each 'zone of influence'.*

State ownership and market capitalism have both failed *– Mosley supported a third system of Industrial Democracy in which employees would become stakeholders in the companies they work for and all profits would go to them and them alone. Absentee shareholders would be paid off – speculation in shares is not investment but a form of gambling with share certificates issued years ago. So employees of all companies over a certain size would become co-owners and elect their own representatives to serve on Boards of Directors. This redistribution of the ownership of industry and commerce will promote greater incentives among the work force – their share of the profits will depend directly on their enterprise and initiative.*

Sir Oswald Mosley campaigning on his principal post-war theme of European Union, here addressing a Trafalgar Square rally in 1958.

Both central and local banking must be drastically reformed *– Real investment is too important to be left to bankers driven by the size of their monthly bonuses: Government must have stronger controls over the money supply and the regulation of banking procedure. The end of absentee share holding means the banking system will have a more important part to play as the only source of all new investment. Both personal and company finance should be viewed as a service to the community – not an opportunity for gangster bankers to get rich quick.*

We need a New Model Parliament to get things done *– Mosley said the geographic franchise is 100 years out of date and should be scrapped. Instead of voting for M.P.s on a local franchise he advocated the occupational or vocational franchise. Under this system everyone who worked in healthcare would choose from healthcare candidates, teachers for teaching candidates, transport workers for transport candidates, retail workers for retail candidates etc. In this way we would create a parliament of experts – elected by experts.*

Income Tax should be abolished *– In his writings and speeches Oswald Mosley asserted that people should be taxed on what they spend and not on what they earn. So tax on essentials like fuel and clothing would be much lower than on luxury items like fast cars and foreign holidays in exotic locations. This would assist those on modest incomes by shifting the burden of taxation towards those in a better position to afford it. Zero income tax would also encourage saving. Most government income for public services would then be derived from taxation on company profits and luxury goods: spending money on essentials is a necessity – spending it on luxuries is optional.*

No more products with built-in obsolescence *– Many companies deliberately design and manufacture products with a limited working life before they need replacing. This is done to increase sales and boost their profits. But it also depletes natural resources, creates unnecessary pollution and offers customers poor value for money. In the campaign to combat climate change built-in obsolescence is environmentally unsustainable. We need to return to making products with pride and craftsmanship that are built to last. We should no longer accept consumer goods designed to be quickly replaced – or prematurely superseded by artificially created 'fads and fashions' backed by multi-million pound advertising campaigns.*

Overpopulation is the real cause of climate change *– Governments won't focus on the real reason driving climate change and pollution: the massive increase in world population that our planet simply cannot sustain. Every human being brings with them a carbon footprint and the world's population has more than doubled since as recently as 1970. So no matter how many tin cans and plastic bottles we recycle it's negated by the arrival of another 250,000 humans every day. People must be encouraged to accept smaller families to reduce world population to a level the planet can support without causing further global warming. For a start we should stop rewarding people for having more children with child benefits and tax reductions. Global capitalism will always oppose population control because more people mean more profits.*

Treat the housing shortage like an operation of war *– A spiralling population and years of uncontrolled immigration have made decent housing unaffordable for large numbers of our people. Mosley advocated treating the housing shortage as an operation of war – Government should intervene to finance and build more homes to rent at a cost ordinary people can afford. These extra homes will also help to bring down the cost of renting and buying in the private sector by the law of supply and demand. Old factory and office sites that are no longer needed should be used for these new homes as far as possible and no building on the Green Belt should be strictly enforced.*

Note: I agree with most of FOM's excellent ideas but would add that on the 9th point about overpopulation that I am of the personal opinion that Britons, Irish and fellow Europeans are not producing enough children to survive and a National European Government of the future should reward our mothers and wives for having babies and discourage the legalised murder of the unborn child in the womb via abortion. In my pamphlet *Europe Unite* I also call for the restoration of cordial relations and peaceful co-existence between Europe and the Muslim World which has been damaged severely by our slavish support of the bandit State of Israel, founded in 1948 after a Zionist terror campaign of murder and bomb attacks against British servicemen and native Palestinian Arab civilians. Finally, rest assured dear readers that we will still be British, Irish, French, Italian, German, Hungarian, Polish, Russian etc in a new European Nation, just as we are still English, Scottish, Welsh, Cornish and Irish within the Union of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

Robert Best, Sussex, England

*Editor's note: To find out more about the Friends of Oswald Mosley organisation visit their website: – **www.oswaldmosley.com** – Reprints of many of Mosley's books can be purchased via the FOM publishing house Sanctuary Press Ltd: – **www.sanctuarypress.com** – and the League of St George book club Steven Books: **www.stevenbooks.co.uk** - or write to - **League Enterprises,** Kemp House, 152-160 City Road, London, EC1V 2NX.*

The last Nazi standing - The tale of Omar and Helmut

Several decades ago, there was a boy named Omar, Omar Khadr to be exact. Omar Khadr was one of the offspring of the Khadr family, Canada's first family of terrorism – the much married, niqab-wearing and mouthy sister Zaynab has featured frequently in this newsletter (*Canadian Immigration HOTLINE*).* Father Ahmed, an Egyptian, was a jihadist and major fundraiser for Osama bin Ladin. He hated Canada as a corrupt society, except as a source of welfare and medicare. At one point, having Canadian citizenship safely in his back pocket, he moved the family to a more congenial religious tyranny in Afghanistan, but not for long. He and several sons, including the then fifteen-year old Omar went actively jihading – a nice term for committing terrorism – and, in 2002, got into a firefight with some American soldiers. Papa Ahmed was killed. Young Omar tossed some grenades, killing one U.S. Army Sergeant 1st Class Christopher Speer and a blinding a second U.S. soldier in one eye. Omar was captured and shipped off to Guantanamo.

Years passed and he eventually pled guilty to the charges of killing and wounding. The Harper government reluctantly interceded. Omar was cut some slack as a young offender with a vile father. He received eight years and returned to Canada to serve the rest of his sentence. He got early release and, with the ingratitude that is a family tradition, promptly sued the Canadian government. The Islamo-friendly Trudeau regime offered him a secret $10.5-million payment, secret so that the widow of the wounded U.S. soldier could not pre-emptively sue for damages. So at 32 Omar, whose family has been nothing but a drain on this land, swaggers around a free man and multi-millionaire and a great favourite of the fawning CBC.

Businessman Helmut Oberlander has been stripped of his Canadian citizenship and faces deportation (having lived in Canada since 1954); meanwhile the Canadian authorities negotiated a multimillion dollar payout to a former jihadist.

Now, back in 1941, as World War II raged around him, there was a 17-year old boy named Helmut Oberlander. Helmut lived in the Ukraine when German forces invaded. He was *Volksdeutsche*, that is, part of a German minority who had settled there in the 18th century. Young Helmut spoke Russian, Ukrainian and German and, thus, was useful to the German army as a translator. He was seconded to a *SD Einheit* (Security Unit) of the German Army. After the war, he went to Germany and trained as a bricklayer and construction engineer. In 1954, he emigrated to Canada and worked for the next few years in the construction trade. In 1958, he formed his own construction company Oberlander Construction and was instrumental in the building explosion in the Kitchener-Waterloo region over the next four decades. Mr. Oberlander was an exemplary and contributing citizen, paying handsome taxes and employing many people.

His legal torment began in 1994, when, in response to persistent Jewish lobbying to refight World War II, the government of the day sought to strip Mr. Oberlander of his citizenship on the basis that he must have lied to obtain entry to Canada, as membership in units like the *SD Einheit* made him ineligible since they were implicated in killing some civilians. However, it was not as simple. It quickly became clear that Mr. Oberlander had committed no war crimes. He was a youthful translator. Potential immigrants were supposed to be asked about their wartime service. So, it is assumed that Mr. Oberlander lied. However, numerous people who immigrated at the time recall that, shortly after the war, RCMP interrogators no longer asked about wartime service but only about membership in the Communist Party. Could Mr. Oberlander be held responsible for not volunteering information he was not asked for? There is no longer any paper record. The notes from the interviews of the time have long since been destroyed. Indeed, there is no evidence of any wrongdoing on Mr. Oberlander's part. In contrast, there are the 61 years of Mr. Oberlander's entrepreneurship and contribution to Canada – in other words, evidence of a solid, exemplary, contributing citizen!

Why the singleminded obsession with the deportation of this 96 year old man? It's hard not to draw a parallel with the treatment of ex-terrorist Omar Khadr. Like Mr. Oberlander his wartime actions started when he was what we would now call a "young offender". But Mr. Oberlander committed no crime; while Mr. Khadr killed an American soldier and partially blinded another. Yet, Canada has bent over backwards for Mr. Khadr, citing his youthful status at the time, and rewarding him with what was to be a secret $10.5-million settlement. Mr. Khadr had contributed nothing to Canada. In contrast, Mr. Oberlander who never committed a crime has made an outstanding contribution to Canada as a model immigrant.

After a twenty-four year legal battle, German immigrant and highly successful businessman Helmut Oberlander faces deportation from Canada. He has been stripped of his Canadian citizenship and faces removal. His case, with numerous appeals, has wended its way through the courts for almost a quarter of a century, at obscene costs to the Canadian taxpayer, to say nothing of the costs to Mr. Oberlander. While Omar has been the beneficiary of the Islamophilia of the Trudeau government, Helmut has been the victim of the relentless and powerful Jewish lobby that has targeted him for decades in their mania to refight the Second World War.

With disproportionate influence in the media, business and politics, the Jewish lobby, though representing less than one per cent of the population, is powerful while the far more numerous German community is passive, seeking only to work hard and fit in. German bashing, and Helmut certainly is a victim of that, pays political dividends and wins the applause of much of the poodle press.

"Bernie Farber, the former CEO of the Canadian Jewish Congress who has been following the case against Oberlander since it was launched in 1995, believes he has exhausted all his legal options. All that's left now is his removal, likely to Germany. ... Farber, who called Oberlander 'the last Nazi standing,' said 'it took way too long. This is not going to be a great legacy for the Canadian judiciary in dealing with war criminals.'"

But Mr. Oberlander was NOT a war criminal!

"The government's next step should be to deport Oberlander, Farber added." (*Canadian Jewish News*, June 18, 2019).

Paul Fromm, Ontario, Canada

* *Editor's note: this article was first published in the June 2019 issue # 340, of the* Canadian Immigration HOTLINE *newsletter, which is published monthly by the William C. Hopkinson League, to whom we give full acknowledgments.* H&D *readers can obtain sample copies of the* HOTLINE *newsletter by writing to:* ***Hotline, PO Box 332, Station 'B', Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada, M9W 5L3,*** *or check out their website at* - **www.canadafirst.net**

Opening the Files on Race "Criminals' in Modern Britain Part II

In October 1976 John Kingsley Read, chairman of the National Party, was charged under the 1965 Race Relations Act. Read and his supporters had broken away from the National Front in December 1975 after the High Court blocked his attempt to expel John Tyndall from the party, and in May 1976 Read and an NP colleague were elected as borough councillors in Blackburn, Lancashire. On 12th June 1976 he had addressed an NP rally after a march in Newham, East London,

Two volumes on the Read case are now available at The National Archives. Detective Chief Inspector Bill Taylor of Scotland Yard's 'community relations' division (a 'high flyer' who ended up Commissioner of the City of London Police) reported that the NP march numbered about 350, including a large number of teenagers "and some even about 10 years of age". He noted that "although verbal abuse was demonstrably present there is no evidence that any incidents of physical disorder occurred en route."

Two of the Union flags carried on the march were marked "Ulster Vanguard Movement". This was a breakaway from the Ulster Unionist Party founded by Belfast East MP William Craig in 1972, advocating a semi-independent Ulster and militant opposition to the IRA: it was widely seen as a quasi-fascist party with paramilitary connections. Vanguard had links to some leading NP activists, but by the time of this 1976 Newham meeting Craig's party had split and was already in decline – the presence of its flags was of little more than symbolic value.

John Kingsley Read (*centre*) leading a National Party march in Newham, East London, in June 1976. Fellow marchers on front row include (*left to right*) Dave McCalden (*with loudhailer, face obscured by flag*); Bob Marsh, Liverpool UDA Commander; Fred Muter (*with moustache*); flagbearer Billy Marsh (son of Bob); Bob Andrews (*with armband*), Liverpool Orangeman. Behind the right-hand flagbearer is Howard Hawksley, again from Liverpool. Kingsley Read's speech at the rally following this march led to him being charged with "inciting racial hatred".

An open-air meeting after the march lasted about twenty minutes, and by any normal legal definition was a "public meeting" since anyone could have attended without payment and it was held in an open public place, although in practice "the meeting was formed almost exclusively of the marchers" and no non-Whites were present.

The rally was chaired by NP national activities organiser Dave McCalden (who after the party's collapse moved to the USA, where he co-founded the revisionist Institute for Historical Review in 1978 before leaving the IHR in a dispute with Willis Carto, eventually dying of AIDS in 1990).

Speakers were Newham NP organiser Mike Lobb, longstanding nationalist and Brighton NP organiser Denis Pirie, and Kingsley Read. The latter's speech was vividly remembered by several witnesses, including two police officers, who recalled in particular two phrases – a reference to "niggers, coons and wogs" and "one down, one million to go". The latter phrase clearly referred to the 'racist' murder of an eighteen-year-old Sikh, Gurdip Singh Chaggar, in Southall, West London, eight days before the rally.

None of the witnesses had made a verbatim record of Read's speech, even though it had only lasted for about five minutes, but almost all of their statements were consistent with each other. "The one real departure," according to the Scotland Yard report, "is the evidence by Michael Cohen [a photographer working for *Searchlight*] who suggests Read said, 'niggers, wogs, and Jews'. He was busily engaged photographing the meeting and may be less able to be accurate. It may be too sceptical to believe he has a biased interest in hearing the last word, 'Jew'."

Later police reports established that Cohen should not be called as a prosecution witness "as political bias would be self-defeating", and he had a criminal conviction of his own for a politically motivated crime. In August 1966 he had been conditionally discharged by North London Magistrates after conviction for attempted breaking and entering at a workshop with intent to commit a felony, and having been "found by night in possession of house-breaking instruments".

More broadly, according to the Scotland Yard report there was a slight problem in that "police officers apart, the only witnesses that are currently available have a vested interest in the subject of race relations. It may be that their objectivity can be challenged but despite this I consider that their statements are truthful, accurate and a largely impartial account of what they saw and heard."

Of course the main difficulty for prosecutors is that the new race law did not come into effect until 13th June 1977, so Kingsley Read could only be charged under the 1965 Act, which required proof of subjective intent to stir up racial hatred. Scotland Yard felt they were entitled to consider the fact that the area of the march and rally had "a significant immigrant population who might be expected to learn or come in contact with the incident"; the professional and fervent manner of Kingsley Read's speech; the context of Chaggar's murder; and the nature of the 'racist' chants and stickers that were evident during the march.

Kingsley Read was duly committed for trial after an appearance before magistrates in December 1976, but Scotland Yard ran into difficulties with a broader investigation seeking to bring racial incitement charges against two young NP activists, Richard Lawson and Steve Brady, over an article in the NP newspaper *Britain First*, headlined 'Black Rape Terror Strikes Again', written by Brady and edited by Lawson. An 'anti-racist' organisation, the Runnymede Trust, had reported this article to the Attorney-General, calling it "not only racialist but specifically an incitement to hatred".

Brady's article was prompted by the attempted rape of a female student by "a six-foot tall Negro" at London's Imperial College on April 6th 1976, following a similar incident at the same time and place, where rape had actually occurred the previous November. No doubt Brady was especially appalled by these crimes because he was himself a recent Imperial College graduate.

Future Judge Neil McKinnon as a schoolboy cricketer at the Australian boarding school Geelong College. Judge McKinnon was widely criticised after his sympathetic summing-up at the Old Bailey in January 1979 contributed to Kingsley Read's acquittal.

He pointed out that circumstances suggested the rapist was himself a student at Imperial, and added:
"The fact that these outrages were committed by a well-dressed Negro, who is believed to have escaped after the second attack on an expensive motorcycle and who had a veneer of University education, only goes to show that no amount of 'positive discrimination' and 'enlightened educational methods' can change the basic racial nature of the Negro. A Negro dressed in an expensive suit and holding a University degree is still a Negro.

"Only by repatriating these racially unassimilable aliens will the bloody saga of muggings, rapings, and knifings which is nightly enacted, not only in the ghettoes, but also now in the Universities of this land be brought to an end.

"By fighting in the National Party to achieve this, YOU can help to avenge the thousands of White Britons who have suffered at the hands, boots, and other appendages of Britain's Coloured Invaders."

When questioned by police about this article, Brady replied: "I'm not suggesting that all negroes are rapists but that there is a higher incidence of violent crime by negroes in this country. I believe there are facts to support this. I believe that negroes are basically genetically different to whites – not necessarily inferior but different. If this is the case it would follow that education would not change this."

The law required definite evidence that this newspaper had been on public sale (as opposed to being distributed to party members), and there were technical difficulties in proving this, even though when interviewed Brady and Read seemed happy to accept that the paper was "sold to the public at large", and Brady "defend[ed] the article on the basis that it is the truth." DCI Taylor suggested "it may even be the case that [Read and Brady] are making calculated attempts to seek a prosecution on statements or articles which they believe to be reasonably defensible." By contrast the police had trouble tracking Lawson down, and when they did manage to interview him he was uncooperative. Eventually (partly for technical reasons) it was decided not to prosecute either Lawson or Brady, and simply to charge Read in relation to his Newham speech.

During preparation of the case against the NP leader, prosecutors expressed concern that "Read clearly is going to try and make political capital out of this case", and prosecuting counsel David Tudor Price QC said that he "would welcome a conference with the Attorney General in this case as he now doubts the wisdom of proceedings against Read." His reservations were partly due to the absence of a reliable transcript of the speech, but an adviser to the DPP (wrongly as it turned out) remained confident: "I have no reservations about the prospects of a conviction."

In fact Tudor Price's earlier advice had similarly been that "the words spoken by Read, whatever gloss may be put upon them were grossly offensive to coloured immigrants. They were plainly uttered in order to stir up and fan the hostile feelings of the faithful and of the remainder of his audience against those persons. They were the kind of invective and abuse against which the section was directed. It was not intelligent and reasoned debate but was emotive rabble rousing, appealing to the prejudices of his audience."

Tudor Price continued: "The decision whether or not to proceed must depend mainly upon the prospects of success. In the past I believe the Director [of Public Prosecutions] and the Law Officers have felt that it is very important that proceedings under this section, if brought, should succeed. If a member of the National Party, especially a Leader, were prosecuted and the prosecution failed it would be counter-productive. The acquittal would be the subject of much publicity and would be used by this group to advertize and glorify their objectionable platforms.

"It has to be recognised that this group has in recent times grown in strength in certain areas and the local elections in Blackburn are indicative of their growth in popularity. There are also difficulties in any prosecution where it is possible for a defending advocate to don the guise of the defender of the right to free speech. A further difficulty pointed out by D.C.I. Taylor in his helpful report is that no recording was made of the speech and there is some slight difference between witnesses as to exactly what was said.

"But in spite of the possibility of having one or more jurors (if there were trial by jury) who had an 'anti-immigrant' view, I think the case is sufficiently clear to give a probability of conviction and for that reason (while aware of the risk of failure) I would respectfully recommend proceedings."

Though Read was committed for trial in December 1976, his case did not come before the Old Bailey until January 4th 1978, partly due to an overcrowded court schedule, and the delay inevitably compromised a case that depended on witnesses' memory of Read's speech and its context. His defence counsel John Jackson (a Lancashire-based

Scotland Yard investigations of Kingsley Read's National Party briefly focused on Richard Lawson (*second left*) editor of NP journal *Britain First*, and Steve Brady (*far right*) author of a controversial article about "Negro rapists". Lawson and Brady were questioned by detectives at the NP's Pawsons Road headquarters in Croydon, but (largely for technical reasons) neither was charged.

criminal barrister who later became chairman of Burnley Football Club) argued that while his client's remarks might have been in "poor taste" they had been made in a jocular context and could not be said to be intended to incite racial hatred. By itself it was not an offence to use "insulting" words. The first Old Bailey jury failed to agree a verdict, so Read was retried a few days later before a new jury and a different judge.

A summary of the case written by a Crown Prosecution Service official (and included in archived documents now available) makes clear that the decisive factor in the retrial was the "vastly different" judge's summing up: "I was just sitting in utter disbelief. I have never heard such a biased summing up."

The 68-year-old, Australian-born Judge Neil McKinnon had reminded jurors of the traditional nursery rhymes *Ten Little Nigger Boys* and *Catch a nigger by his toe*, and asked: "All these old jokey nursery rhymes, have they become criminal offences suddenly because of the multiracial society into which we have moved? It all depends on the circumstances and intent."

Judge McKinnon had himself acquired the nickname "Nigger" as a schoolboy in Australia, after he had to sing an aboriginal song. "After that song I was given the nickname 'nigger' and no-one committed a criminal offence by calling me a nigger."

Many years later while walking down the Strand in London, one of the Judge's old schoolfriends – an Indian Maharajah – had called out to him by this nickname. "I looked round and saw the Maharajah's almost black face and said: 'who are you calling nigger?' I can assure you neither he nor I intended any offence and that if there had been such a thing as the Race Relations Act in force we would not have committed any offence against it."

Judge McKinnon told the jury: "You have got to allow toleration and freedom to the individual, otherwise we all face dictatorship, repression and slavery. ...In this England of ours, at the moment, we are allowed to have our own views still, thank goodness." In a political digression on immigration the judge said that after the colonial experience it was only natural that "the black man wanted to follow the White man to England."

He continued:

"Of course, we cannot accommodate here unlimited numbers of immigrants coming into this country, but it is not something to be ashamed of... Goodness knows, we have one-and-a-half million unemployed already and all immigrants are going to do is to occupy jobs that are needed by the local population.

"Homes are scarce. It is said that immigrants will occupy homes which are needed by ordinary English folk in this country. Members of the jury, these are matters upon which people are entitled to hold and to declare strong views expressed in strong terms."

Speaking of Kingsley Read, the judge said:

"He is obviously a man who has had the guts to come forward in the past and stand up in public for the things that he believes in. You and I might disagree with him wholly and entirely and might well vote for almost anyone who stood against him. That is not to say that he has committed any criminal offence."

The jury took only ten minutes to find Read not guilty. Discharging him from the dock, Judge McKinnon told him:

"You have been rightly acquitted but in these days and in these times it would be well if you were careful to use moderate language. By all means propagate the views you may have but try to avoid involving the sort of action which has been taken against you. I wish you well."

The liberal press was predictably horrified, with *The Guardian* describing Kingsley Read's acquittal as a "red-letter day for racialists", while *The Observer* commented:

"Judge McKinnon apparently thinks the law passed by Parliament is nonsense. As a citizen, he is entitled to that view. As a judge, he is not entitled to act on it. To present Mr Kingsley Read's racialist views as the articulation of the concerns of 'ordinary English folk' about the threat to their jobs and homes is a travesty. To wish Mr Read well is a disgrace. The judge ought to resign."

National Front News

ISSUE No. 19

THATCHER THE BETRAYER!

THE NEW, supposedly 'tough' and 'Right Wing' Tory Government of Margaret Thatcher has betrayed more election promises, and betrayed them in a shorter time after being elected, than any previous Tory Government – indeed than any Government in recent times.

'Thatcherism', as a hard-line new brand of Conservative policy, represents one of the biggest confidence tricks ever pulled by the mass media during a General Election. It succeeded, and it stole votes from the National Front by stealing – or appearing to steal – NF policies.

But let's look at Thatcher's awful record since then:

RHODESIA

Thatcher promised that a Tory Government would quickly grant recognition to Rhodesia and many Tory voters of recently lost the Whore that still remained. The recent Rhodesian elections were fair, Thatcher said.

But her first act after being elected was to rush to the Commonwealth Conference in Lusaka and immediately capitulate to the murderous Black thugs of the Marxist "Patriotic Front".

She announced that the new Rhodesian constitution was not acceptable after all, that fresh elections must be held to include

Tory pledge to restore it.

Thatcher, of course, could have imposed a compulsory pro-hanging "Three line Whip" on Tory MPs – but Tory leaders only impose compulsory votes on such issues as surrendering our national sovereignty to the EEC!

I.R.A. TERRORISM

A hard-line on terrorism and the IRA was another of Thatcher's promises. Yet, after only three months of Tory Government masked IRA gunmen openly paraded in the streets of Ulster, and IRA leader David O'Connell was filmed by TV cameras arriving at a rally to the cheers of Republicans.

The Police and Army, on strict

The National Front's newspaper was quick to point out that after her election as Prime Minister in May 1979, Margaret Thatcher systematically betrayed the hopes of those voters who had trusted her words about Britons feeling "swamped" by immigration – and her promise to amend the Race Act.

The liberal press was predictably horrified, with *The Guardian* describing Kingsley Read's acquittal as a "red-letter day for racialists", while *The Observer* commented:

"Judge McKinnon apparently thinks the law passed by Parliament is nonsense. As a citizen, he is entitled to that view. As a judge, he is not entitled to act on it. To present Mr Kingsley Read's racialist views as the articulation of the concerns of 'ordinary English folk' about the threat to their jobs and homes is a travesty. To wish Mr Read well is a disgrace. The judge ought to resign."

In a sermon at St Paul's Cathedral two days after the acquittal, Canon Douglas Webster called the judge's remarks "shocking and appalling... It is sad, and it is certainly bad, that the first week of the New Year should be marred by the shocking remarks of the Old Bailey judge implying that there is nothing wrong in describing coloured immigrants as 'niggers, wogs and coons', words that will echo around the world and will greatly hurt millions of non-white people."

The Anti-Nazi League's reaction was predictable:

"Judge McKinnon's vicious direction to the jury can only underwrite the impression that now in Britain it is respectable for a neo-Nazi agitator to stand up and say exactly what he thinks irrespective of the lethal consequences. It was such developments in Germany that opened the door to Adolf Hitler and the Nazi concentration camps."

When Judge McKinnon began hearing his next case at the Old Bailey (which had no connection with the Read case or any other racial matters), ANL secretary Paul Holborrow stood up in the public gallery and heckled the judge, shouting: "'One down, a million to go' is an open incitement to racial murder." He was ejected from court.

Labour MP Arthur Latham said that Judge McKinnon's remarks "indicate that the judge may not be far removed in his own views from those of the man on trial. They were quite disgraceful." Seventy of his colleagues, led by Blackburn MP and former cabinet minister Barbara Castle, called for McKinnon's resignation, and a Labour Party committee said that his words were "an affront to human rights, a danger to race relations, and a contempt of the law, and render him unfit to hold office as one of Her Majesty's judges."

Several dozen black barristers announced that they would boycott any court where Judge McKinnon was presiding, and on January 13th the Lord Chancellor – Lord Elwyn-Jones – issued an unprecedented public statement. This stopped short of publicly rebuking the judge but strongly implied that at a private meeting the Lord Chancellor had privately ticked him off. In future Judge McKinnon had volunteered not to preside over any further cases that involved racial matters, though he stressed that he had not intended "to imply that he in any way approved of the political aims of the defendant. He regrets that any such inferences should have been drawn."

The judge's critics felt this did not go far enough: Barbara Castle said it was not acceptable for the judge "to accuse other people of drawing inferences from remarks which were categorical

statements," and asserted "the judge has undermined respect for the law and confidence in our system of justice."

Continued pressure for a parliamentary debate led to the case being discussed at a Cabinet meeting on January 19th. Once secret minutes of this meeting show that Prime Minister Jim Callaghan and his colleagues wished to avoid a formal parliamentary vote on whether to dismiss Judge McKinnon as "the wider issues involved were appropriate to a more general debate on race. There might be a need for a study in depth of the activities of the National Front and the progress they were making in the country, and he would himself consider how consideration of this matter could best be handled."

As is implied but not explained in this Cabinet minute, one irony of the Kingsley Read case is that by the time he came to trial, his own party had effectively collapsed. By January 1978 it was the National Front (and to a far lesser extent the British Movement) that posed a political or public order threat. Six months before his trial, Read had unilaterally changed the NP's name to 'Democratic National Party' but had failed to halt its decline, and by the time he stood in the dock he had very few supporters left. Read's tiny faction operated for a short while from his Blackburn home, while the anti-Read faction briefly continued the NP from its Pawsons Road office. After a short-lived alliance with ex-NP official Mike Lobb's microscopic British United Party, the NP faded away. Some members rejoined one or other NF faction, others operated for a while in the League of St George or (in at least one celebrated case) joined the Conservative Party.

In 1979 Kingsley Read gave up politics and began working as a nurse at a mental hospital: years of heavy drinking contributed to his early death in 1985, aged 49. During his last years Read twice gave interviews for 'anti-fascist' television programmes, and after his death *Searchlight* claimed to have "had regular dealings with Read over the last four years, in which he was always frank and helpful". Just how "frank" is open to question: one of his former NP colleagues informs *H&D* that shortly before his death Read was actually part of a 'sting' operation in which *Searchlight* was supplied with false and defamatory information about a Conservative politician which would have trapped the magazine into a libel action, had Read been alive to give evidence.

As for Judge McKinnon, he served for another three years before retiring from the bench in May 1982, aged 72. Apart from the Read case, he was perhaps best known for his daughter Kathy McKinnon being a 'Page 3' model, and for his dismissive comments during the prosecution of a man accused of sex with a fifteen-year-old schoolgirl who, the judge said, "had no complaints at all – a thoroughly satisfactory experience as far as she was concerned." Judge McKinnon died aged 80 in November 1988.

Race and immigration issues continued to be prominent in political debate during 1978. Tory leader Margaret Thatcher made a deceitful pledge to amend the race law, restoring the old position where prosecutors had to prove intent. Speaking at a press conference during a parliamentary by-election campaign in High Wycombe, Mrs Thatcher said "we will have a look at the whole Act when we come into power, But I have always been unhappy about this particular clause." A Tory government, she said, would force prosecutors to demonstrate "an intent to intend".

Needless to say, although Thatcher became Prime Minister the following year and was in office for the next eleven years, the Thatcher era saw not the slightest move towards even partial relaxation or repeal of the race laws. In fact just as with immigration, the 1980s saw further inexorable tightening of repressive legal actions against 'racists'.

Six months after Kingsley Read's acquittal two British Movement activists were similarly found not guilty by a Warwickshire Crown Court jury, this time on charges brought under the new race law after they had made 'racist' references to "wogs" and "coons" during a public meeting in Warwick marketplace in September 1977. Michael Cole from Warwick was acquitted on all charges; his BM colleague George Jones from Leamington was acquitted on the racial incitement charge, but convicted on a majority verdict of an alternative charge under the Public Order Act of using words likely to lead to a breach of the peace.

Prosecuting counsel was again David Tudor Price. There are presently no National Archives files on the case.

These three acquittals during the first seven months of 1978 persuaded some on the left that the race laws needed to be tightened still further. A general election was imminent, and an early draft of Labour's manifesto included a pledge to replace the word "hatred" with the words "hostility and prejudice". This proposed amendment never happened, but interpretation of the law now tends to be much stricter because of changing attitudes among jurors (especially in city areas) and greater political correctness on the judicial bench.

Prosecutors were now desperate to obtain a race act conviction, and in January 1979 they succeeded, courtesy of one of the British racial nationalist movement's most colourful characters. The saga of Robert Relf will be told in Issue 94 of *H&D*.

In addition to offences of "inciting racial hatred", the Race Relations Act also allowed civil cases to be brought be anyone who could show they had suffered discrimination on grounds of race, affecting their employment, provision of goods and services, etc. The first such case under the 1976 Act came to Westminster County Court in March 1979, when a young West Indian secretary Velma Fontaine was awarded £100 damages against the owners of a flat in Streatham, South London. She had telephoned to enquire about renting the flat but had been told it was already let. Suspecting this was because of her West Indian accent, Miss Fontaine got a white colleague to make a similar phone call – and this time, her colleague was invited to view the flat. The landlord claimed not to be prejudiced, and indeed had an Anglo-Indian wife, but the county court judge found in favour of Miss Fontaine.

During the general election campaign of April-May 1979 the postal workers' union tried to use the Race Relations Act to obstruct distribution of National Front leaflets. (In UK parliamentary elections, all candidates are entitled to have free house-to-house postal delivery of one leaflet.) After negotiations with the union, the Post Office agreed to set up an office for vetting NF leaflets, under regulations that incorporated the 1976 Race Act. Future government minister Peter Hain, then leader of the Anti-Nazi League, told the press: "We have people in every constituency ready to scrutinise leaflets when they are produced. If they break the law, we will send them to Post Office headquarters for further scrutiny, and if the Post Office still delivers them, we will take out injunctions. At least we will delay the deliveries, and if necessary we will bring prosecutions against postmasters afterwards."

As noted in *H&D* #92, the 1976 Act had extended the anti-discrimination provisions of the earlier law to cover private members' clubs as well as pubs and hotels. In December 1979 this law was used for the first time when a "non-discrimination order" was issued against the Mount Pleasant United Working Men's Club, in the Edgbaston district of Birmingham. The club admitted that since 1956 it had operated a policy of refusing membership or admittance to blacks, and was told that if it failed to change this policy, court action would follow.

Eventually the first club actually to be taken to court for such discrimination was the Irish Democratic League club in Bradford, which had refused membership to a locally-resident Hindu of Indian extraction. The original rules of the club had stipulated that members had to be Roman Catholics, and in the distant past it had strong Irish republican political affiliations, but the court heard that it had long since ceased to have any Irish character.

Leeds County Court found against the club, awarding £25 damages plus costs, though it appeared that there were still legal loopholes to be exploited if clubs were determined to reject non-white members.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Editor's note: Part III of this series will appear in Issue 94 of H&D.

Book Review: *Aristocratic Manifesto For Imperium Europa* - By Norman Lowell

Aristocratic Manifesto For Imperium Europa, by Norman Lowell – 2019, ISBN-13: 978-1-912975-19-8. Published by Arktos Media Ltd. Available from – Arktos Media Ltd, 17 Walkergate, Berwick-Upon-Tweed, Northumberland, TD15 1DJ for £19.50 or $26.50 (softback) online from https://arktos.com/product/imperium-europa/ or www.amazon.co.uk

Mark had asked if I would review this work and after a month I finally feel that I can attempt an analysis of Norman Lowell's work, not a book merely to be read, but a volume requiring both study and contemplation of a vision, an aristocratic vision for European man.

Like most British nationalists I wasn't too knowledgable on the racial, political or even geographic position of Malta, nor was I aware fully who or what Lowell was about, so from the outset *Imperium Europa* was a revelation.

Briefly Norman Lowell is a man of means, a banker, world traveller, martial arts expert but more importantly, a visionary who has brought together ideas and concepts for European Renaissance and revival beyond the sphere of blinkered nationalism instead promoting a world view grounded upon the eugenic principle, a hierarchy based upon quality rather than the false equalitarianism of mass man. That Lowell is an accomplished artist comes across in his script.

The book itself is written in chapters, many dated, and could be described as a compilation of articles describing his thoughts during that particular time frame. Given this, in some instances I found a degree of repetition in his written words – this however detracts nothing from the book and in fact serves to reinforce his ideas, particularly so, if like myself you read it on an as and then basis.

With introductory chapters from Dr. Tomislav Sunic and Constantin Von Hofmeister both providing their own thoughts on Norman's manifesto it is fair to say they give the reader a grounding on what they may expect as they peruse the author's philosophy. From Sunic: "Numerous racially aware and highly educated Europeans, including Lowell himself, are facing social ostracism and harassment, even imprisonment, by the thought police. Thought crime is no longer a literary metaphor, but has become the foundation of the judicial dogma of modern democracy."

Von Hofmeister opines (that) "No matter how much of Christianity has been paganised (or Europeanised), in its essence it remains a semitic cult from the desert of the Middle East."

From the outset the author lambasts the fraudulent and bogus idea of democracy, citing examples both historic and recent as "an experiment that failed, and badly, and whose consequences will take hundreds of years to heal and correct." Further advocating instead a democracy of aristocracy, citing the model of ancient Athens where out of 30,000 only 10,000 were qualified to vote, an electorate based on intelligence and quality.

Much thought is given to his island homeland and the genetic folk make-up of the Maltese people including a lengthy confidential *Addendum* by Britain's post WWII Governor, Sir Charles Bonham-Carter making clear how strategically important Malta was for the survival of the British Empire and how Britain regarded the Maltese as European geographically, culturally and in racial terms. Similarly Lowell takes the trouble to take a deeper and more scientific look into the racial realities and differences which are lumped together as humanity, ideas expanded in his Chapter VII, *Race, Nation, State*. Citing a solution which he describes as "An imperium, acting as a state on a planetary scale, encapsulating the various nations of the white race." Which encapsulates Norman's idea.

Globalism and Zionism are also exposed in depth. Coming from a background in finance the author has a clear and concise understanding of how globalist capitalism entails the free movement of peoples, primarily those of third world origin into the heart of Europe: citing the UK as an example of how this experiment is designed to end, essentially a demographic and economic time bomb with a fuse that has been slowly burning away for decades.

Damning Zionism both in theory and practice, Lowell candidly exposes the "hidden hand" behind America's imperialist adventures in the Middle East & Europe, hardly news to *H&D* readers, but the facade of capitalism vs. communism is laid bare as tribal chicanery played out as a puppet show.

Masonry comes under scrutiny in chapter XI and whilst, like I imagine most readers, I had taken a jaundiced view of the Craft, the author makes clear that freemasonry had been founded with aims both laudable and noble but had been subverted before WWII in line with the text of *The Protocols*. Contrary to Masonry in England which became top heavy with Semites, Lowell looks to the nationalist and racialist ethos of Masonry in both Scotland and Germany, citing Rudolf Hess as a top Ostara Mason who flew



Norman Lowell, author and leader of Imperium Europa

to Eaglesham, Scotland in the hope of enlisting his brethren in a final desperate attempt to prevent the fratricidal conflict of WWII. Favourable mention is also given to South Africa's Broederbond, along with praise for Charles Lindbergh, Gen. Douglas MacArthur, George Washington and Benjamin Franklin.

Ultimately Lowell describes the "Final Struggle" of 1939-45 between German Masonry and the Judaic Masonry of Britain and France.

The author has been something of a world traveller, but gained his firm understanding of the Race question from his experiences in Rhodesia of both the glaring inequality of the races and how globalist capitalism was actively funding the Ebony Marxism of Angola and Mozambique; of how the same tribal group from Kissinger to Albright were behind it all.

Lowell is essentially a Green, his vision for a future world paradise is reinforced throughout his work. His own proposals for his Malta would be devoid of cars and based on a sound ecological system. Unsurprisingly again the author cites the original Greens who weren't the multicultural lunatics, bean-eating homosexuals we associate with the modern Green Party, but were fanatical National Socialists encompassing the idea of *Blut und Boden*! To paraphrase him again "Racial preservation is green!"

Malta is again cited as a reference for the demographic threat to the Race with Chapter XIV reproducing a pertinent passage from Jean Raspail's *The Camp Of The Saints*, grimly suggesting that if Malta is lost to the invasive hordes then Europe too will suffer that fate.

There is more, much more in this fantastic book, and before the author's chapter in tribute to William Pierce I was struck by how the book read like the cosmotheist philosophy espoused by the National Alliance in political, genetic and religious spheres. Similarly in proposing Latin as the cultured, aristocratic language of the elite I was reminded of the writings of Ben Klassen. Lowell's religious and eugenic proposals would not gain universal applause from his readers, but he nevertheless forwards compelling logic for advancing his theories.

A realist, Lowell nevertheless sees a hard struggle ahead and a future paradise for Imperium Europa.

"The future belongs to us! We precious few: the Elite paving the way for the Superman, brooking no opposition or restraint in our fight for the survival of our Race. Knights in shining armour, this time not in the service of that most abject of all depraved symbols – that of a man hanging from a cross – but defenders of the Race: Kshatrija, Spartan Hoplites, Roman Legionaires, Templars, Teutonic Knights, Waffen SS – High culture bearers: the best of the best, guiding the White Man on a galactic quest."

Reviewed by Greg Cumming, Belfast, Ulster

Editor's note: Norman Lowell is leader of the Imperium Europa (IE) party, which he founded in 2000. Unlike many nationalist parties in Europe, IE is very pro European Union. The stated goal of the party is to unite all European natives under one flag, hence the name "Imperium Europa", leading to "a Europid bond forged through Spirituality closely followed by Race, nurtured through High culture, protected by High Politics, enforced by The Elite." In his formulation Lowell combines Cosmotheism and the ideas in Francis Parker Yockey's book Imperium. *Lowell is a staunch supporter and admirer of Carmelo Borg Pisani, the Maltese-born Italian Fascist, who, on the grounds that British rule was destroying Malta's Latin soul, advocated the expulsion of the British and joined, to this effect, the National Fascist Party. In the 2019 European Elections Lowell polled 8,335 votes (3.2%). This was up on the previous election in 2014, when he polled 6,761 (2.7%). Check out his website at -* ***www.imperium-europa.org***

Subscribe to Heritage and Destiny

To make sure of your regular copy of *Heritage and Destiny* why not become a subscriber? The following rates are for the next six issues: British Isles £28.00; USA/Canada $52.00; Worldwide £35.00/$52.00.

Bulk Rates

Discounts for bulk purchases are as follows: 10 copies – £18.00; 20 copies – £30.00; 30 copies – £40.00: 40 copies - £50.00 Postage is free within the UK. For over 50 copies in the UK or US/Worldwide rates please contact our office.

Back Issues

All back issues (numbers 1-92) are also available at £4.00/$6.00 each (post-paid).

Enamel Pin Badges now available

We now have the following high quality Patriotic enamel pin badges for sale: British Independence Day – June 23 2016: Enoch was Right; Union Jack flag; St. George Cross flag; St. Andrew's Cross flag; Welsh Dragon flag; Northern Ireland flag; St. Patrick's Cross flag; Red Hand; Red, White & Black Celtic Cross; Red, White & Blue Celtic Cross; Black & White Celtic Cross; Keep St. George in My Heart; English & Proud; England No Surrender; 100% English; 100% Loyalist; England flag shield; English Red Rose; English White Rose; English 3 Lions; Ulster Loyalist; English Bulldog; English Lion; England Youth Firm; Chrome Celtic Cross; Chrome Tree of Life; Chrome Sunwheel; Chrome Wolf Hook; Chrome Odal Rune; Tree of Life Round Red; Tree of Life Round Blue; BNP; BDP; Blood & Honour shield; Skrewdriver – England; Odal Rune & Sword shield; Infidels shield; Templar Knight shield; Red, White & Blue Sunwheel; Red, White & Silver Sunwheel; Crossed St. George & Union Jack flags; Crossed St. George & Confederate flags; Crossed St. George and White Dragon flags; Scottish Defence League; Refugees Not Welcome; Black Sun; Thor's Hammer. £3.00 ($6.00) each or any six (mixed) for £15.00 ($30.00) (post-paid).

Patriotic Flags now available

We now have the following good quality 3x5 flags for sale at £10.00/$20.00 each: Odinic Raven; Old South African; Rhodesian; Celtic Cross (b/w); Celtic Cross (r/w/b); English White Dragon; England St George; Northern Ireland; Welsh Red Dragon; Scotland St Andrew; Ireland St Patrick; Welsh Red Dragon; Isle of Man; SAS Union Jack; Poppy Union Jack; RAF Ensign; Northern Ireland 6 Counties; Royal Standard; Orange Order; Purple Standard; AWB 777; White Rose Yorkshire; Confederate (3rd National); and Confederate Battle. For overseas orders please add another £5.00 ($10.00) for postage.

Donations

Income from sales and subscriptions of our magazine are not enough to cover the high cost of production, mailing and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so we can keep going. Every Pound, Dollar or even Euro counts, so please try and send in whatever you can afford.

Payment Details

Cheques or Money orders should be made payable to Heritage and Destiny and sent to; 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, UK. Please do not send cash, unless by recorded delivery.

The Meta-Problem
Simon Sheppard opens a very large can of worms

This topic is about as fundamental as it gets, concerning something that confronts us practically every day of our lives – problems. It is surely true that if everything worked as it should, we would be living in a very different world. Problems of one sort or another constantly arise, but so far as I know, the principles underlying them have never been explored like this. Call it a meta-analysis if you like.

Three approaches to problems

To start with, it seems there are three approaches to problems. Framing it another way, we might say there are three kinds of person. First there is the problem creator. Another type moves problems around. The last kind, seemingly rare, is the one who solves problems.

Taking a simple example, suppose Annie has a mishap with an electric iron and blows its fuse. Annie's whole routine for the day starts with getting her ironing done, so she takes an intact fuse from the toaster. She has both created a problem and moved it. Suppose it is a shared household and shortly Barry, hungry for his breakfast, takes the fuse from the battery charger they use.

More time passes, then Charlie comes along, desperate to charge his laptop... you get the idea. The fuse could be moved back and forth for weeks. Eventually someone solves the problem by buying a packet of fuses and fitting one. He might even leave the remaining fuses in a handy place.



Seeking to help a drug-addicted friend or relative can lead to the phenomenon of 'co-addiction'.

Moving the problem around seems to be the most common action. By this means, most people are able to solve the problem, eventually becoming tired of its constant reappearance. In the above scenario, eventually it dawns on someone that it would be easier just to buy some new fuses. It's a low-level, routine method of solving problems. By repeatedly shifting the problem, "handling" it in some way, even someone without great insight or agency will eventually arrive at a solution.

The process of moving the problem around can take a long time, and other complications may arise. An inadequate solution may create other problems. Individuals possessing greater insight can short-circuit this tortuous process and solve the problem at its root. However, there may be others who benefit from the problem's existence, and they may obfuscate the issue or directly interfere with attempts at a solution.

Dancing around the well

As we know, some problems can be tough nuts to crack, and sometimes if you solve a problem for someone they'll promptly create another. Here we come to my parable of the friend down the well. Suppose you have a friend who lives out in the sticks, he lives alone and you visit him every few days. You go out one day and hear muted shouts, because your friend has fallen down the crude well from which he gets his water.

Shouting down, you learn that he slipped on the mud and tumbled in. So of course you hunt around for a length of rope and drag him out. Thank God you came!

Time passes, and a few visits have been made without incident, but then another time you roll up to hear shouting once more. Your friend is again down the well. Perhaps he got drunk and in the middle of the night, took to dancing around the well, but how he got there is immaterial. The only thing that matters is that he is down the well – again.

Being nice can be bad for you

Again you drag him out. Now however, a pattern is established. Perhaps you are a kindly soul, and there is a third time, but in the long term, should this continue, the outcome is predictable. While dragging your friend out, tiring from the effort, you yourself could slip in the mud and join your friend at the bottom of the well. Or, your friend could be lonely down there and 'accidentally on purpose' give the rope a tug at a particular moment and you similarly find yourself in the deep with him. This happens, analogously, more often than people might suppose. Misery loves company and with both of you down there, the two of you could perish before some occasional visitor arrives.

The lesson is that being nice can be bad for you or your objectives – it can result in becoming dragged down into other peoples' problems, which are often relatively trivial, preventing a greater objective from being realised. It is also clear that some people's problems are self-created solely to gain attention.

The sensible reaction, when the friend is discovered down the well again, is to give him a stern talking-to, and warn him that if he is found down the well once more, you will not pull him out. Maybe you'll call a doctor instead, and have him carted off somewhere.

Addiction to being needed

Of interest is a syndrome which is associated with drug addiction, 'co-addiction.' This may be found, for example, in the mother of a heroin-addicted son. Naturally the mother cares for her son, and worries about him. Knowing this, the son delegates all worry to her, blithely continuing his addiction. The mother may even provide money for drugs, on the ground that he might otherwise steal, because in reality, while he is dependent on heroin, she is dependent on him for her caring role.

Suppose, returning to the parable, you arrive at your friend's lonely shack with its well and for the second time, pull him out. However in this instance you discover that you rather enjoy the role of rescuer of your poor, slightly deranged friend. Perhaps your life is a little dull, and such drama provides a welcome novelty. Maybe you're insecure and relish the feeling of superiority this new situation presents. So you don't give that stern talking-to and warning, instead secretly, perhaps subconsciously, hoping that he falls in again, so you can again play the role of gallant knight in shining armour. However, as described, you may end up down the

well yourself, and even if you don't, you have been drawn into the problem, and become part of it.

Where do problems come from?

The essential question to pose is: why are problems created? What purpose does it serve? According to evolutionary psychology, a behaviour must confer advantage to persist. People have been creating problems for millennia, so it must confer advantage. What is the evolutionary origin of creating problems?

The approach I am applying is Procedural Analysis, which is founded on a male-female dichotomy. So the first matter to be resolved is whether creating problems is a masculine or a feminine strategy.

Although problems have always been created, I'm sure even in pre-history, for illustration we examine a situation which was commonplace less than a couple of hundred years ago. Suppose, somewhere in the land, a butler's son was sweet on a chambermaid at the neighbouring house. He courted her regularly, and everyone who knew about it envisaged the usual course, that they would marry. However, the course of true love never runs smooth, a truism to which most of us can probably testify.



Unease stirs in the young woman's breast. Some incident or saying is seized upon, being relayed and dissected in excruciating detail to the butler's son and likely others too. The incident is so magnified that it brings their relationship to a crisis. The female may not be conscious of it, but what she is doing is testing the male. She is ensuring that he will stick around when she needs him, because the costs to her of being abandoned, perhaps with child, are enormous. (This was certainly the case until very recently, when the State stepped in to play 'Big Daddy.')

Easy Come, Easy Go

Also 'Easy Come, Easy Go' applies. It is a fundamental law that something (or someone) which is easily acquired is likely to be easily shed. One sad aspect of crime for example is that much stolen property is broken or lost even before it gets to the drug-dealer or fence. Exactly the same mechanism applies. Something which is costly is less readily given up. By creating a crisis, requiring the male to remonstrate with her, assure her of his commitment and passing through this ordeal, she is raising her cost. Such a trial can be recognised as a staple of folklore, romantic fiction etc. The suitor must accomplish some extraordinary feat to win the hand of the fair princess.

Now let us turn this model around, as a test of the hypothesis. What would happen to a young male, acting in the wider world, if he went around creating problems, manufacturing crises out of thin air? He would find himself pushed to the bottom of the social hierarchy, with all the disadvantages that entails, particularly his reduced prospects of leaving progeny. If the problems he created were severe, he could be killed, and that would definitely be the end of his genetic line.

So in the circumstances described, a female creating a problem is advantageous for her: she gets a reliable, tested mate. For a male doing similar, the strategy is disadvantageous. Thus creating problems is a female strategy.

In another circumstance, the female could use creating a problem as a means to attract attention, demanding the involvement of someone who would not otherwise notice her. She might create a problem solely for her prospective mate to remedy.

Advanced procedures

Applying Procedural Analysis once more, two procedures relevant to this theme were identified. First to be defined was *Creative Transduction*: Creating a problem for the purpose of blaming someone else. Fake hate crimes are a pretty much perfect example of Creative Transduction, though other examples are abundant. Later it became apparent that CT failed to cover all circumstances, and *Profitable Disruption* was defined: Creating a problem for advantage.

Some say there's a particular population whose *modus operandi* for centuries has been to create problems and thrive by them. Be that as it may, the influence of problem creators is so omnipresent in our modern time that Profitable Disruption has become practically the standard business model. Every part of a car or motorcycle is separately re-designed to ensure incompatibility with other marques and models. Technology companies constantly change their products, forcing users to "upgrade." Microphone manufacturers employ a bewildering variety of connection standards, such that virtually identical devices are all incompatible with each other. These are also expressions of the 'lock-in,' another feminine strategy. The object is to trap the consumer into the particular manufacturer's format or standard, to the exclusion of others. By this means a quasi-monopoly is achieved and profits are increased.

Sowing problems for the future

People used to bemoan built-in obsolescence, but now every device with built-in rechargeable cells has it, since rechargeables have a limited life. For users of the afore-mentioned products, which now is almost all of us, the amount of nuisance, confusion and waste all this creates is staggering.

Yet still this is just a fraction of the problem. The arrogance and profligacy of Western elites is only sustained because our economies have been sucked into a fiscal whirlpool. They now have negative worth, and are in so deep that ascent to monetary dry land is simply impossible. The levels of debt involved are enormous and unprecedented. According to the World Bank, in 2017 the British government spent 7.5% of its income on interest payments, the American 12.5%. This debt is borrowing from the future, and some day it will fall due.

Simon Sheppard, Yorkshire, England

Editor's note: check out Simon's website at - ***www.heretical.com***

Book Review: *Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind by* Yuval Noah Harari

Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind by Yuval Noah Harari, 2011, ISBN 9780099590088; soft-cover, 288 pages, published by Vintage Publications, Available for £10.00 from Vintage Books, 20 Vauxhall Bridge Road, London, SW1V 2SA or online from www.vintage-books.co.uk or www.amazon.com

"I encourage all of us, whatever our beliefs, to question the basic narratives of our world, to connect past developments with present concerns, and not to be afraid of controversial issues."
Yuval Noah Harari, 2011

I buy a few books from Amazon (although charity shops are my preference), and of course Amazon build up their data on your reading habits which is why they recommended reading *Sapiens* by Yuval Harari, a lecturer at Jerusalem University.

With a background like that I wouldn't normally touch the thing with a barge pole but it came with great recommendations as a complete history of our species, *Homo Sapiens*, and being a self-taught amateur in the field of racial realities in a world where races are declared to be a social construct, I felt duty bound to read it.

If there's two things about the reality of Race that make my blood boil it's the ridiculous declaration by the United Nations that race is a social construct; the other being the disproven 'Out of Africa' nonsense.

By the by, Harari had written a previous book *Homo Deus: A brief history of Tomorrow*.

The main points in the book *Sapiens* are at least he doesn't treat race as a construct, but despite having to contradict himself on numerous occasions, he sticks with the 'Out of Africa' theory.

There are some real nuggets of genuine interest in the book especially concerning our distant ancestors' decision to walk on two legs. Being a biped in a world where you are nowhere near being the top predator is dangerous. Big cats can run – fast! And an advanced chimpanzee makes a tasty snack.

So why did we start to walk on two of our four limbs? Harari postulates that there were a number of reasons for this, the first being environmental. If as tree dwellers our ancestral chimp couldn't get the food it needed as the forests shrank, it had to search the ever growing savannah for its food, and bipedalism means it could see much further over the plains for both food and predator and it could also move faster.

I would go with this explanation as I can see no alternative one. (Although when I see some of the White youths of my area, slouching about in 'hoodies' and their frames round-shouldered, I do wonder if we should have stayed in the trees!)

Of real interest and something readers may never have thought of are the many drawbacks and illnesses modern man suffers because he evolved into a biped.

Back and lumbar pains, fallen arches, neck pain and a lot of other uncomfortable medical problems are caused by our bodies. Nature having designed us to make use of all four limbs for walking, is punishing us for only using two of them. But hey – who said Evolution was perfect?




Israeli author Yuval Noah Harari

Harari divides the history of our species into a series of revolutions. The first being the Cognitive Revolution when our brains had grown and we started to THINK. This led to speech, fire, clothes and art – well symbolic writing anyway.

The Cognitive Revolution was followed by 'The Agricultural Revolution', this led us from being Hunter-Gatherers into constructing basic societies based around our cultivated fields and so villages, towns and eventually cities came into being.

The next revolution was the 'Unification of Mankind' and it's here I started to part company with the author. He ends that part of the book with the statement that Nation States are no longer needed and Globalism is evolutionary! Never heard that reason before!

The chapters in *Sapiens* I did find to be well worth my reading cover an examination of the 'Natural Law' ideas – which if read with the understanding that White Nationalism/National Socialism is in many ways an interpretation of Natural Law being applied to politics, bolsters quite strongly the statement that "White Nationalism is the political expression of Nature".

The author again correctly identifies Adolf Hitler as being a "Social Darwinist-Humanist" but then shoots himself in the foot (and the bigger foot of Liberal-Humanism which rules us today), by declaring that Hitler's attempts to put Social Darwinism into action doomed it, because the defeat of Germany in 1945 pushed White Nationalist beliefs into the area of tabooism where as we see clearly today, it is impossible to discuss our own beliefs with the new tabooist words of 'Racist', 'Fascist', 'Xenophobe', 'Homophobe' etc being screamed out by the liberal-humanists to drown out all truth and all the investigations into truth.

This explains too why "Historical Revisionism" has become the greatest taboo.



Our siblings, according to speculative reconstructions (*left to right*): *Homo rudolfensis* (East Africa); *Homo erectus* (East Asia); and *Homo neanderthalensis* (Europe and Western Asia). All are humans.

I would love to see a White Nationalist author write a definitive book not only answering the various questions asked in *Sapiens*, but in also establishing this definitive book to be as an equivalent to *Foundations of the 19th Century* by Houston Stewart Chamberlain and/or Alfred Rosenberg's *Foundations of the 20th Century*.

There is a great need for White Nationalism to be re-examined in the light of scientific research especially the Human Genome project. *Foundations of the 21st Century*?

Lastly we have the 'Scientific Revolution' and here the author goes into free-fall, postulating humanity to be one day totally inorganic and made up of pure thought surrounded by plastic and metal. Yes readers meet *Homo Cyborgensis*. A jolly unpleasant and unsympathetic fellow he is.

The last few chapters are all Futurist Supposition, being better off in a work of Science Fiction than any studious work which *Sapiens* is supposed to be.

I started giving Harari the benefit of my doubts because he does show that Race does exist and states quite correctly that the discovery of DNA put paid to the Social Construct idea for any non-Libertard open minded readers, but he falls down badly towards the end of *Sapiens* with statements like this when speaking of the achievements of *Homo Sapiens*:

"In the last few centuries humans have learned to use their capacities more wisely. The triumphs of modern medicine are just one example. Other unprecedented achievements include the steep drop in violence, the virtual disappearance of International wars and the near elimination of large-scale famines."

Well if that isn't a statement of Globalist wishful thinking then I don't know what is.

According to *Sapiens* we are living in a Global Utopia – it's just that nobody has ever noticed!

Reviewed by Eddy Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire

Editor's note 1: Eddy Morrison is the editor of Nationalist Sentinel *blog* - ***http://natsentinel.blogspot.com/*** *- and the* White Voice *E-newsletter contact* - **BM NPGB, 27, Old Gloucester Street, London WC1N 3XX.**

Editor's note 2: Dr Yuval Noah Harari has a PhD in History from the University of Oxford and now lectures at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, specialising in World History. Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind *has become an international phenomenon attracting a legion of fans from Bill Gates and Barack Obama to Chris Evans and Jarvis Cocker, and even Jean-Marie Le Pen. It's been published in nearly forty languages worldwide. It was a* Sunday Times *Number One bestseller and was in the Top Ten for over six months in paperback. His follow-up to* Sapiens, Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow *was also a Top Ten Bestseller and was described by the Guardian newspaper as "even more readable, even more important, than his excellent* Sapiens"!

Ursula Haverbeck and the *Blumenkrieg*

"The good, the true, the beautiful do not need rockets, bombs or other destructive weapons – the truth is also true with flowers."

So says Henry Hafenmayer whose successful Blumenkrieg initiative mobilised political prisoner Ursula Haverbeck's admirers to send flowers to her jail. The prison had not enough vases. So now "only one bunch of flowers is allowed every week. Until 2020, already flower delivery weeks to her are full."

Ursula Haverbeck, the German Grande Dame of Revisionism (dubbed by the late, great historical revisionist Professor Robert Faurisson – see obituary in *H&D* #88), turned 91 on November 8th 2019 having been imprisoned since May 7th 2018 in a German cell in Bielefeld, North Rhine-Westphalia.

Why? She asked Jewish organisations politely for the precise location and remaining physical evidence for the crime of an alleged "industrially gassed six million Jews". Their reply – jail her for three years!

From Professor Faurisson's legendary answer we learn the reason why: "The organisations claiming to represent the Jews prove to be ever more worried, and brutal. Since 1985 they have been in something of a panic. In January 1985 they observed Raul Hilberg's devastating collapse as expert witness for the prosecution at the 'first great Zündel trial in Toronto' (a collapse confirmed by his refusal, in writing, to appear again three years later at the 'second great Zündel trial in Toronto'). Since then, the 'Holocaust' religionists have experienced a major, enduring crisis that they vainly seek to ward off with increasingly senseless and fierce repression.

"There is no doubt, however, that the revisionism of Ursula Haverbeck and Michèle Renouf will ultimately prevail. For historians there will remain the task of compiling a 20-tome *Encyclopedia of the Historical Lie of the Jewish Holocaust*. In it will be found the names, in particular, of the judges who have disgraced themselves with such cynicism in convicting men and women who, in France, Britain, the United States, Canada, and a good number of other countries, live, work and suffer for historical revisionism – that is, for one of mankind's noblest intellectual adventures. This adventure will have known its 'Righteous ones', with Ursula Haverbeck and Lady Renouf fighting amongst them."

Professor Faurisson and Ursula Haverbeck – two spry titans in their 90s for us to marvel. Happy 91st Year to Ikonic Ursula!

All the more reason to send a friendly, cheerful card or letter, which does not have to bear the address of the sender, to: **Frau Ursula Haverbeck, JVA Bielefeld-Brackwede, Umlostrasse 100, D-33649, Germany.** Friends and supporters can order flowers from her from the local shop: **Blumenladen 'Polz', Bielefeld, D-33649, Germany** by writing a simple request – **"Flowers for Frau Ursula Haverbeck, JVA Bielefeld-Brackwede, Umlostrasse 100, Bielefeld"** – and include a 20 euro note/bill/billet in the envelope, plus a brief message of support. This is quite safe, and such notes can be obtained simply at a travel agent.

Lady Michèle Renouf, Kensington, London

Is the new British government the most Zionist government in our Nation's history?

As I'm sure we all know Britain (as of the 24th of July 2019) has a new Prime Minister, Alexander Boris de Pfeffel Johnson. The new Prime Minister has quickly on taking office set about butchering the cabinet of his predecessor Theresa May in a cabinet reshuffle; making wholesale changes to its personnel. It is my assertion that it is now the most Zionist British government in the United Kingdom's history.

I will take you through a short biography on first Johnson and then several of the new appointments to his cabinet to support my contention.

Boris Johnson has a very cosmopolitan background. He was born in New York in 1964 to wealthy English parents and had dual American/British nationality before renouncing his American citizenship in 2016, like his hero the infamous Winston Churchill, whom many people see as a war criminal. His father is Stanley Johnson, a former employee of the World Bank and the European Commission, and his mother is Charlotte Johnson Wahl (*née* Fawcett) the daughter of Sir James Fawcett, a barrister and President of the European Commission of Human Rights. Boris Johnson's mother is also the granddaughter of Elias Avery Lowe who was a Russian Jewish immigrant to the USA.[1]

Rachel Johnson is Boris's sister and stood for pro-remain Change UK in the recent European elections, whilst his brother and fellow Conservative MP Jo Johnson is also pro-Remain which must make for interesting family discussions to say the least!

Zionist Federation President Teddy Sieff (1905-1982), step-grandfather of new Prime Minister Boris Johnson

We will now look at his stepmother Jenny, who is the stepdaughter of Edward Sieff, the Jewish former chairman of Marks & Spencer and honorary President of the Zionist Federation. Indeed, the Sieff family were deeply devoted to the Zionist cause.[2] Sieff's family owned the well-known British department store Marks & Spencer which has a long history of supporting both Zionism and Israel. Interestingly, Edward (or Teddy as he was known) once survived an assassination attempt by Carlos the Jackal, Ilich Ramirez Sanchez.[3]

Johnson also has four children by his second wife Marina Wheeler, daughter of the journalist and broadcaster Charles Wheeler and his wife Dip Singh. He therefore has mixed-race children.

As we can see Boris Johnson has a family background ensconced in the European Institutions and pro-remain sentiment, which is quite strange for a Conservative leader elected on a ticket to deliver Brexit! There again it's perhaps no surprise because Johnson has flip-flopped on Brexit himself, for example after calling Theresa May's Withdrawal Agreement all sorts of terrible things, saying it would reduce Britain to a vassal state of the EU and voting against it twice, he then went and voted for it on its third vote in Parliament.[4]

He also has part Jewish ancestry and family connections to ardent Zionists such as his step-grandfather Edward 'Teddy' Sieff. Johnson also said in a 2007 interview with the *Jewish Chronicle*[5] "I feel Jewish when I feel the Jewish people are threatened or under attack, that's when it sort of comes out", and saying "when I suddenly get a whiff of anti-Semitism, it's then that you feel angry and protective." He also described himself as a "passionate Zionist" who loves Israel "the great country."

His mother's second marriage was to a Jewish academic, Nick Wahl. Johnson also spent time on a Kibbutz in Israel when he was a teenager.

It was through Sieff's family connections to Kibbutz Kear Hanassi that Johnson and his sister were enabled to spend one summer there whilst he was still a student at Oxford. Johnson as Foreign Secretary also lashed the 'preposterous' and 'absurd' focus of the United Nations Human Rights Council on the Jewish state.[6]

The new Foreign Secretary **Dominic Raab** is the son of a Jewish refugee who fled the 'Nazis'! Raab is a member of the Conservative Friends of Israel and has expressed concern at Labour leader Jeremy Corbyn's approach to 'Anti-Semitism'.

Sajid Javid is the new Chancellor of the Exchequer, the first Asian to hold such high office. Javid formerly worked as the International head of the German Deutsche Bank. He also became the first UK minister to visit Israel in almost twenty years. He spoke to the *Jewish News* about his admiration for & interest in Israel ever since his Muslim father's description of them as a "proud people with which interaction would be a benefit".[7] Javid also honeymooned in Israel with his wife Laura two years ago.

Javid whilst Home Secretary increased funding to protect Jewish community sites with extra security to record levels. Whilst Communities Secretary he said on the British government signing up to the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance's definition of Anti-Semitism, "Anti-Semitism must be understood for what it is – an attack on the identity of people who live, contribute and are valued in our society. There can be no excuses for anti-Semitism or any other form of racism or prejudice. Crimes must always be reported, and the law enforced, but we also want to create an environment that prevents hate crime from happening in the first place."[8]

He also took the decision to ban Hezbollah, branding it a terrorist organisation. Bizarrely, he also believes that Britain is the most successful multi-racial 'democracy' in the world!

Priti Patel is the new Home Secretary. Priti Sushil Patel was appointed Home Secretary on 24th July 2019. Patel was born in London in 1972 to a Ugandan-Indian family. She was recommended by David Levita Cameron when he was Tory party leader for the party's 'A-list' of prospective parliamentary election candidates. She is the first woman of ethnic minority descent to hold the office after fellow ethnic- Asian, Sajid Javid's promotion to Chancellor of the Exchequer. All of the great offices of state in the UK government are now held by those of foreign extraction and Zionist sympathies.

Patel once had the cheek to suggest in an economic treatise that British workers were lazy. She is also an officer of the Conservative Friends of Israel and was implicated in another attempt by Israel to influence British policy.[9]

The scandal surrounded Patel's secret visit to Israel, which organised with the head of the Conservative Friends of Israel lobby

group, skipped any normal protocols. Whilst there Patel visited an Israeli Field Hospital in the occupied Golan Heights which could only happen because of Israeli military and political clearance. There were three key meetings on her trip. First with Israel Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, also meetings with Yuval Rotem, Director-General of Israel's Foreign Ministry and Gilad Erdan, abrasive minister in charge of public security, strategic affairs & information.

Gilad Erdan is in charge of Israel's attempts to counter the Global Boycott, Divest & Sanctions (BDS) movement. The three key pillars of Israel's international diplomacy.

Curiously, nothing of the scandal where a British government minister secretly meets with a member of a foreign power was mentioned by the mainstream media on Patel's appointment as Home Secretary.[10]

The Priti Patel affair gives us an insight into the power of the Israel lobby to influence the British political system. Twelve meetings with Israeli political and business leaders during what she claimed was a "family holiday".

The Ministers' code of conduct dictates official meetings with foreign leaders should be attended by UK officials. Patel didn't even tell her own department about her visit!

There have also been many other government appointments made to the Johnson Government of persons who are Zionists and/or members of **The Conservative Friends of Israel** (CFI).

Conservative Friends of Israel member Theresa Villiers has been appointed the new Environment Secretary whilst fellow member Nicky Morgan is the digital, culture, media and sport secretary who regularly speaks at Zionist forums. Liz Truss, the International Trade Secretary, Ben Wallace the Defence Secretary and Alok Sharma the new International Development Secretary are all CFI members.

Whilst some members may be considered inactive such as Scottish Secretary Alister Jack and the Welsh Secretary Alun Cairns they are both Pro-Zionists. There are also Robert Buckland, the Justice Secretary; the Jewish Grant Shapps, Transport Secretary; Robert Jenrick, Housing, Communities and Local Government Secretary; Andrea Leadsom, Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy secretary; Gavin Williamson the Education Secretary; and Matt Hancock the Health Secretary. Chairman of the Conservative Party James Cleverly is also pro-Israel and an active member of CFI.

New Chancellor of the Exchequer Sajid Javid (*above centre*) visiting Jerusalem's 'Wailing Wall' on July 1st 2019

It is not really surprising though when it is reported that around 80 percent of the conservative MP's in Parliament are members of CFI.[11]

We can see from this that the new government Brexit or no Brexit is under the clear dominance of a foreign entity – Israel – and the main levers of power in the political realm are now firmly in the hands of ardent Zionists who will likely put Israel rather than Britain first, which can't bode well for our people and nation!

Edward Hawkins, Manchester, England

New Home Secretary Priti Patel (*above right*) with Israeli Public Security Minister Gilad Erdan on the terrace of the Houses of Parliament in September 2017

1 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boris_Johnson

2 https://www.jta.org/1982/11/05/archive/j-edward-sieff-dead-at-76

3 https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-39421429

4 www.JTA.org/2019/07/23/Global/5 Jewish things to know about Boris Johnson

5 https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/av/uk-politics-48762235/richard-tice-boris-johnson-has-flip-flopped-on-brexit

6 Harretz.com/Israel-news/boris Johnson's sisters

7 Jewish News. TimesofIsrael.com July 3 2019

8 https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-leads-the-way-in-tackling-anti-semitism

9 Guardian.com-Israel Trip 8 Nov 2017 Peter Beaumont in Jerusalem

10 *Middle East Monitor* Asa Winstanley November 2017

11 http://www.usjournal.net/in-depth/is-johnsons-cabinet-a-pro-zionist-one/

Letters From Readers

Sir – In response to Osred's article in *H&D* 92, I would like to add that Dr Jim Saleam, chairman of the Australia First Party, has been treated by Westpac in the same way as has nationalist campaigner Blair Cottrell. Westpac, one of Australia's big four banks, has closed Dr. Saleam's account and withdrawn their services from him.

As to Facebook and YouTube closing accounts, two additional points can be made:

1. Twitter has told people who have had their accounts closed on that platform that regardless of how they behave on Twitter, if they behave elsewhere in social media or even off social media in ways at which Twitter chooses to take offence, they can close accounts down – and "no correspondence will be entered into".

2. Related to this situation, Facebook, Google/YouTube and Twitter "work with" left wing activists, particularly (in the UK) the Soros-funded *Hope Not Hate*. One consequence of this is that the social media companies will close accounts on the recommendation of *Hope Not Hate*. In Australia the White Rose Society appear to have a regularised but unofficial channel into the social media giants. The White Rose Society is also active in barraging companies with complaints – ostensibly from genuine consumers, but actually from a relatively small number of activists who work from lists and suggested scripts – to bring commercial pressure to bear in a variety of ways.

An egregious example of this is the case of Alan Jones, an outspoken "shock jock" who is still, at 78 years, Australia's most popular broadcaster. There has been throughout August and September a campaign of confected outrage to ruin Alan Jones' career by intimidating advertisers into withdrawing their custom from Jones' Sydney radio station, 2GB. The left have been after Jones for decades and have pounced on a momentary slip of the tongue by him when he was discussing the left's current darling, NZ prime minister Jacinda Ardern.

Osred asks for suggestions as to what can be done. The non-communists do not have the resources or the reserve army of students and welfare recipients that the left draw on for a labour-intensive counter-campaign.

My suggestion is that we must all do something including consumer boycotts (always far more effective if done publicly). And, if we are not in a position to do something publicly, we should donate all we can spare to those activists who **are** doing something. I would add that we should donate broadly to encourage activists of all stripes. I have donated to both Cottrell and to Saleam even though their programmes are different. They are active and Saleam, in particular, has intelligence, tenacity, focus, originality, vision and energy. Neither are afraid to put themselves forward in public.

I should add that "liking" posts on social media does **not** count as being active. Nor is night-time fly-posting. As Mark Collett often reminds his listeners, it is leafletting and engaging people face-to-face, it is standing as a political candidate, it is engaging in other outreach, it is being the best person we can be – a model of our cause for the next generation.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. P. G. Brabant, Cambridge, England

Sir – I can't help but being filled with curiosity: How did NORDFRONT and the Nordic Resistance Movement get so big and so successful ... without encountering a Saint Nick or one of the countless traitors that have betrayed and doomed Nationalist groups in USA, Canada, UK and even Australia? Or for that matter, a CIA or an FBI or an MI6 and get slapped down by a ZOG government apparatus? This would be good information – because we in USA, Canada and UK never get anything off the ground because the enemy has us outgunned fifty-to-one, every time.

Yours sincerely.

Bancroft Hall, Annapolis, Maryland, USA

Sir – What is commonly understood as climate change, that the Earth's temperature is said to be rising as carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere increases, is being confused or conflated with the adverse effects of pollution. David Attenborough in his address to a group of MPs appeared to be treating the two notions synonymously.

Regarding the effects of pollution, noxious gases are being discharged into the atmosphere, such as nitrous oxides and sulphur dioxide, thus adversely affecting air quality. Our rivers and seas are polluted through industrial effluent discharges and from discarded items such as plastic. In addition our planet's delicate ecosystem is being threatened by rapid urbanisation as towns and cities expand, causing vast tracks of land being built upon. Commercial vested interests are causing deforestation and a subsequent loss of habitat for wildlife, thus threatening the extinction of many diverse species.

Therefore the adverse effects of pollution are observable, but the claim that global temperatures are rising as a consequence of increasing concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere requires examination. Over the previous 300 years following the ending of the last mini ice age, temperatures started to rise significantly before man made carbon dioxide emissions started being discharged in significant concentrations. Thus this earlier warming was entirely naturally driven and this process is no doubt continuing today. Furthermore in Roman times and the Medieval Warm Period (c. 900 to 1300 AD), the temperatures were much warmer than today, when carbon dioxide levels were much lower. Thus the correlation between rising temperatures and increasing carbon dioxide concentrations is at best questionable.

Recent research undertaken by the Niels Bohr Institute has established that during the last interglacial period, approx 120,000 years ago, temperatures were on average four degrees Fahrenheits higher than today. Despite this, Greenland only lost 25% of its ice sheets when climate alarmists have predicted total ice elimination in response to much lower anticipated temperatures!

In summary we need to differentiate between the observable adverse effects of pollution and alleged climate change. The current hysteria as evidenced by the absurd and self-righteous antics of the self styled Extinction Rebellion requires to subside so that greater sanity can prevail. However this sense of contrived panic justifies draconian legislation circumscribing our actions and also the implementation of higher taxes. It further extends and justifies the interference of supra-national bodies such as the EU and UN in the affairs of nation states and could be a precursor to some form of world government. It is quite obvious this mendacity is serving vested interests!

Best wishes,

Alec Suchi, Bradford, West Yorkshire

Sir – I would like to offer my contribution to the debate in your columns on the question of whether the German National Socialists were "anti-Slavic", and whether they allegedly "massacred good Christian eastern Europeans" or not. The German Leader and the NSDAP professed their adherence to "Positive Christianity" which was adopted as official policy, without binding the Party to any particular

church confession. Adolf Hitler while baptised a Catholic gave his approval to the reformed "German Christian" movement which believed that Jesus Christ was an Aryan not a Jew (for more information see my booklet *Fascism and Religion*, Steven Books, London 2012).

The National Socialists had their own great vision of a united Europe stretching from Iceland in the West to the Urals in the East. The Germans, numbering 400,000 were actually a minority within the Pan-European Waffen SS. SS volunteers were mostly European Christians (despite a minority Pagan tendency), but also Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists joined with the German and European forces as non-White allies against atheistic communism. Emil Maurice was German but also part-Jewish, SS founding member number two and a personal friend, bodyguard and chauffeur of Hitler who ordered *SS Reichsführer* Heinrich Himmler to officially designate Maurice as an "honorary Aryan".

The SS saw the New Europe in the form of three powerful geopolitical components:

(i) Northern-central Europe and the united Teutonic Germania and Nordic Scandinavia, the power-house;

(ii) Western Europe or the united Celto-Roman-Germanic, Frankonia, Iberia, Italy, Flanders, Wallonia, Britain and Ireland, the heartland of European civilisation;

(iii) Eastern Europe or the united Slavonia, Hungary, Romania, Greece, Caucasia and Turko-Tataristan, the fringes of a united Europe.

While admittedly there were some "anti-Slavic" tendencies in the early days of German National Socialism, as the Second World War progressed thousands of Eastern Europeans were in fact volunteers in the SS – Estonians, Latvians and Lithuanians, and there was even the Russian National Liberation Army led by General Vlasov, Russian National Socialists and Russian Fascists who collaborated with the Germans in the European crusade against Communism (responsible for more than 100 million deaths via executions, contrived famines, and in slave labour concentration camps in Russia, Eastern Europe and Asia since the largely Jewish-Bolshevik Revolution in October 1917).

James Larratt Battersby, a former member of Sir Oswald Mosley's British Union of Fascists and National Socialists (BUFNS), and the founder of Legion of Christian Reformers (LCR) and World Aryan Union (WAU) advocated a "Nordic-Celtic-Slavic Alliance" after the Brothers' War of 1939-1945, and Mosley himself stood for his grand vision splendid of "Europe-a-Nation" (which he said would include Eastern Europe and Russia after their liberation from Communist tyranny) when he launched his new party called Union Movement (UM) in 1948.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Best, West Sussex, England

Sir – *H&D* is good at revising historical truths and defending our heritage but I would like to see more on 'destiny' if possible. We need plans of where we are heading as a society, rebuilding a strong, just, principled nation; setting an example to the rest of the world. But government failed to plan even for exiting the EU club, leaving us in such chaos, and lack of partners during the transition and thereafter that we lurch into even more foreign waters. Whilst laws imposed from Brussels are anathema, I fear worse to come.

One fear is that hawks in the USA are poised to exploit the vacuum by selling us their 'snake oil' such as agri-chemicals, food additives, soap operas and the like, and by snapping up our industries and infrastructure languishing in debt and uncertainty, as they did under Mrs Thatcher's / Rothschild's 'Think Tank'.

Worse still, such dependency will lead to our acceding to their political diktats over free speech, demonizing 'dictators' they do not like and ultimately joining their next wars, sacrificing our gallant defence forces, squandering our scant assets and exposing us to retaliation. Their last wars destroyed much of Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya and Syria, and on dubious grounds that those nations were a threat to Israel, which Zionist aggression in Palestine may well invite. It now has Iran in its sights. We should build resistance to these high priests of war. Our natural objectives as islanders are to be self-sufficient, avoid war, conflict and education; and develop our renowned 'democracy' to uphold citizens' needs and rights against the diktats of mendacious lobbies.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. James B. Thring, West Sussex, England

Sir – According to a poll commissioned by that bastion of impartiality "People's Vote", an organisation set up to campaign for a second referendum on leaving the EU, about half of the population of the UK think it would be a good idea. Who would have thought it? As an opinion poll, it sounds about as authoritative as the old Whiskas advert which said eight out ten cats preferred their food! Perhaps it sets a precedent that any result we don't like can be reversed if we moan loud enough.

Yours for race and nation,

N.D. Hackett Esq, Halesowen, Worcestershire

Sir – Reading your excellent book review of the new Derek Black biography (*H&D* #92) reminded me of an old conservative saying that has been and continues to be proven wrong. Conservatives claimed that even though college/university students are receiving a Marxist indoctrination, once they graduate and enter the real world they will shed all of that starry-eyed leftist utopia nonsense. I heard that in the 1960s, through the '70s and even the '80s. What actually did happen is this: they graduated and went to work and implemented what they were taught. That's why today major corporations are promoting so much degeneracy and perversion, as well as most of the leftist agenda.

It's a situation that will only grow worse. It's one of the reasons I keep saying that all pro-White groups should strive to own their own venues for formal meetings as well as social gatherings. Eliminate the threat of leftists getting your venue cancelled at the last minute! All pro-White groups should also strive to be able to print their own literature and never have to worry about a printer refusing to print for you again!

The misguided who think voting for the lesser of two evils is buying us time, should rethink that because it looks to me as though all that approach did was buy the enemy time – our time, time that went to the benefit of the enemy! It gained us nothing!

Final thought. Here in the USA it's college students that are working enthusiastically for leftist Bernie Sanders and other far-left candidates. They will continue to do so far into the future, unless we can spark a great White Awakening and soon! I leave you with a question: When have any of lesser of two evils done anything to prevent us from racing towards the abyss?

Best racial regards,

John D. Alder. Port Saint Lucie, Florida, USA

Sir – With a Halloween Brexit now *not* happening, due in part to our Unionist "friends" in the DUP, is it not now time for nationalists to campaign for an independent England (and Wales) and let Ulster (and Scotland) go?

Yours sincerely,

Richard Hindes, Portsmouth, Hampshire



Movement News Update

Brexit continued to dominate the UK's political agenda during the late summer and autumn, with the ever-present threat of a snap general election, but did nothing to boost eurosceptic or quasi-nationalist parties. As has become usual, most by-elections had no UKIP or Brexit Party candidate, even in areas which had previously seen reasonably strong UKIP votes, including: Abbey North ward, **Daventry** (which UKIP won in 2014 and where they polled 22.1% as recently as 2016); Finedon ward, **Wellingborough** (previous UKIP vote 26.2%); Clacton East division, **Essex** (previous UKIP vote 15.1%); Beanfield ward, **Corby** (previous UKIP vote 22.4%); and Coupe Green & Gregson Lane ward, **South Ribble** (previous UKIP vote 18.1%).

UKIP contested two local council by-elections on August 29th. Radcliffe West ward, **Bury** would once have been a serious UKIP target: they finished a strong third here with 20.5% in 2015. However in the latest by-election (which followed the departure of Labour's former Bury Council leader Rishi Shori) they were overshadowed by the newly registered local protest party 'Radcliffe First' whose candidate won. UKIP's Anthony Clough finished bottom of the poll with 50 votes (2.5%).

On the same day in East Kilbride Central North ward, **South Lanarkshire**, UKIP's David Mackay was sixth of seven candidates, polling 48 first preference votes (1.4%). Very rarely nowadays UKIP manages to achieve a respectable result. On October 17th in Westcourt ward, **Gravesham**, Linda Talbot finished third of four candidates with 116 votes (11.8%) – well down from 299 votes (22.9%) at this year's May election, but well above the joke votes that UKIP has been polling in most areas recently.

During October UKIP's situation became even more farcical, as the party's national executive moved to suspend their own newly elected leader, Dr Richard Braine. He is an ally of previous leader Gerard Batten, and part of a faction who aim to promote hardline anti-Islamism as a central part of UKIP strategy. By contrast the majority on the national executive want nothing to do with explicit anti-Islamism, and in particular resent Braine and Batten's alliance with controversial 'Alt Lite' figures such as journalist Katie Hopkins and YouTube video star Carl Benjamin (aka 'Sargon of Akkad').

Nigel Farage's Brexit Party (perhaps anticipating an imminent general election) has started to contest a few local by-elections, having at first avoided all local government. On September 5th in Wainbody ward, **Coventry**, the Brexit Party's George Beamish was in a straightforward fight against the establishment parties Lib-Lab-Con. He was bottom of the poll with 193 votes, 6.6%.

Another Brexit candidate turned up in Torksey ward, **West Lindsey**, a safe Tory area of Lincolnshire, on October 24th. Nick Pearson finished third of four candidates with 299 votes (28.2%).

Also on October 24th the anti-Islamist party For Britain contested the Heavitree and Whipton Barton division of **Devon** County Council. In this working class Exeter suburb, For Britain's Frankie Rufolo finished fifth of five candidates with only 70 votes (2.2%).

The National Front held its annual general meeting in Birmingham on September 28th. Guest speakers were former chairman Andrew Brons (now of the British Democratic Party) and Chris McCabe from the New Zealand National Front. Also addressing the meeting were Steve Smith, architect of Burnley BNP's success in the early 2000s, now an NF activist; party press officer Richard Edmonds; deputy chairman Jordan Pont; and chairman Tony Martin.

There is a stark contrast between the NF's past achievements and the state of British racial nationalism today. The NF had shown in its earlier years of the 1970s that a nationwide movement could be built in record time; and the British nationalist activists of the BNP in the 2000s showed that we could successfully win elections up and down the country and see dozens of our brave candidates elected to public office. Present turmoil and political turbulence at Westminster give us the opportunity to resurrect our nationalist movement.

However within days of the AGM, turmoil engulfed the NF itself, with deputy chairman Jordan Pont leading a faction strongly objecting to the style and content of the party newspaper's (*The Flame*) most recent edition, edited by Mike Easter. Mr Pont resigned from the NF in mid-October, and is considering whether to join forces with other dissident nationalists in a new movement.

Mark Collett, former director of publicity for the BNP, brought 100 nationalists to his Patriotic Alternative conference in East Lancashire on September 7th, and is in the process of registering a new party.

What is now clear is that former NF and BNP chairman Nick Griffin will not after all be making yet another political comeback. Griffin held a meeting in North West England at the end of September, but discovered he has embarrassingly few followers. He will continue to tweet and be toured around as an ex-MEP, but now recognises he is past his sell-by date as an active politician.

A more realistic and hopeful development was the **Patriotic Alternative** conference organised by former BNP official Mark Collett in East Lancashire on September 7th. A high-powered line-up of speakers included Frodi Midjord of Scandza Forum; AltRight video blogger 'Millennial Woes'; and Defend Europa activist Laura Towler.

This is likely to be the embryo of a new political party that aims to present racial nationalist ideas to the general public, without automatically alienating the uninitiated. It was very good to see almost a hundred nationalists attending such an event, the majority of them people who had never previously been members of a political party, and who had been attracted to Patriotic Alternative via social media and online videos. The group's website is still under construction at **www.patrioticalternative.org.uk** and links to conference speeches can be found on *H&D*'s website.

Mr Collett's challenge of course will be to turn these followers into political party activists prepared to engage in the disciplined and sometimes boring work of building party branches, organising election campaigns and the like. Timing the party's next steps will be crucial, and for now he will have to be patient, wait and watch as the final (?) act of the Brexit drama plays out.

Many Patriotic Alternative supporters started out in one or another form of anti-Islamist activity, before developing a broader political perspective. By contrast Mr Collett's former BNP colleague Paul Golding continues to solicit support from those still stuck in the Islam-obsessed rut. His party Britain First was compulsorily deregistered in November 2017 and was fined £44,000 in July for multiple breaches of election law.

Now Mr Golding is attempting to re-register Britain First, which would in theory allow him to contest elections, but the Electoral Commission has so far rejected his applications. Some observers suspect that even were the Commission to relent, Mr Golding would find it difficult to comply with regulations on financial disclosure. His former Britain First colleague Jayda Fransen, who no longer has a good word to say for her former party, is now working with ex-councillor Jolene Bunting in efforts to create yet another new, Ulster-based, anti-Islam campaign group.

British nationalists continue to be targeted by politically-motivated prosecutions. London Forum founder Jez Turner remains

under legal restrictions barring him from any political activity, but in theory these will expire in November. Gregory Lauder-Frost of the Traditional Britain Group resigned in July as chairman of his local community council in the Scottish Borders after his conviction for "racially aggravated abuse" – in other words a strongly worded online debate with an "Asian British" student. He was fined £300 and ordered to pay £200 compensation.

Traditional Britain Group held a very successful meeting on October 19th in Central London with an international panel of speakers: a full report will appear in our next issue.

On September 5th at Grimsby Crown Court, Nathan Worrell was sentenced to two and a half years imprisonment after being convicted of eight offences against the race laws – four of "possession of material stirring up racial hatred" and four of "stirring up racial hatred".

His prosecution dates back to an investigation by "counter-terrorism police" in November 2017, involving not guns or explosives but... racist stickers! The offending material amounted to four stickers with the following labels: "Diversity Is White Genocide", "Multiculturalism Is Genocide", "White Power Combat 18 in the Area" and "White Pride Combat 18 in the Area".

Prosecutors tried to portray Mr Worrell as part of a sinister terror threat to ethnic minorities in the Grimsby area. I appeared as an expert defence witness, and explained to the jury that Combat 18 ceased to exist more than a decade ago. Even at its peak, Combat 18 was a faction within the racial nationalist movement, not a paramilitary group.

The good news was that the jury acquitted Mr Worrell on some of the charges against him. Moreover the sentence (in the present climate of hysteria against the 'far right') could have been worse. Nevertheless, to lock someone up for strongly worded racial nationalist stickers is a disturbing sign of the times. Most chilling was the post-trial comment by Detective Chief Superintendent Martin Snowden, head of Counter Terrorism Policing North East:

"We will not tolerate any action which attempts to undermine or divide our communities and will continue to work to counteract the intentions of individuals who seek to do this.

"The Counter Terrorism network, in conjunction with local police forces, works hard to identify and arrest and bring to justice anyone espousing hatred and extremism. The public are key in helping us do that and we would encourage them to bring any posts, websites or activity of concern to the attention of police."

Former English Defence League leader Stephen Yaxley-Lennon (alias 'Tommy Robinson') was released from prison in September after serving two months of a nine month sentence for contempt of court. His early release was due to having spent two months in prison before his trial. Meanwhile at the end of September seven of Lennon's supporters were jailed for "serious acts of violence" during a June 2018 demonstration in Central London.

On October 18th Mr Yaxley-Lennon was back in court when police and football authorities applied for an order banning him from attending England football matches.

A better legal development is the continuing court action by Robin Tilbrook, Essex solicitor and leader of the English Democrats. Mr Tilbrook seeks to establish that Brexit legally occurred on March 31st this year, regardless of other parliamentary manoeuvres and deals. The EDs held a successful conference in Leicester on September 21st, and alongside many other parties and factions hopes to benefit from a post-Brexit electoral environment.

In **Germany** the anti-immigration party AfD has continued to advance in regional elections. On September 1st in Brandenburg (the state adjacent to Berlin) AfD advanced to become the second-largest party in the state parliament, polling 23.5% and taking 23 seats, up from 12.2% and 11 seats at the previous election in 2014. On the same day in Saxony, which has seen strong nationalist votes ever since emerging from the former communist East Germany, AfD was similarly the second-largest party, with 27.5% and 38 seats, up from 9.7% and 14 seats in 2014. Unfortunately AfD's success has utterly swamped the old nationalist party NPD, which elected members to the Saxony state parliament a decade ago but this year polled only 0.6%, down from 4.95% in 2014, 5.6% in 2009, and 9.2% in 2004.

André Ventura, leader of the new party Chega ('Enough'), elected to Portugal's Parliament in the general election on October 6th – the first nationalist MP in Portugal since the country's return to 'democracy' in the mid-1970s.

A third state election was in Thuringia on October 27th: the AfD made massive progress, becoming second-largest party with 23.4% and 22 seats, up from 10.6% and 11 seats in 2014.

Portugal's 'far right' has traditionally been one of the weakest in Europe in electoral terms, though small racial nationalist organisations there have produced high quality publications. Yet at the Portuguese general election on October 6th, the newly registered nationalist party Chega ('Enough!') managed to win a parliamentary seat – the first such gain by the Portuguese 'far right' since the end of military rule in the mid-1970s.

Chega polled 1.3% nationwide and won its seat in the Lisbon district, which elects 48 MPs using the d'Hondt division version of proportional representation. Party leader André Ventura – a law lecturer with a doctorate from University College, Cork, and best known as a football pundit associated with Portugal's largest club Benfica – has made controversial comments about gypsies and gets most of his support from working-class areas of the capital.

European nationalist parties have mostly shown no interest in following Britain's Brexit example. In mid-October 2018, one of the few leaders to have promoted Euroscepticism – **Italy**'s Lega leader and former deputy prime minister Matteo Salvini – explicitly abandoned his earlier stance, writing in the conservative daily *Il Foglio*: "I say this once and for all, and I hope that nobody inside or outside my party will raise this issue again. The Lega does not have any intention of taking Italy out of the euro or the European Union. Let me be clearer still, to stop journalists from fantasising: the euro is irreversible." A right-wing coalition headed by Lega won regional elections in Umbria on October 27th, taking control of a region held by the Communists and their allies since 1970."

The climate change issue also shows diversity within Europe's populist right (contrary to the impression given by some professional 'antifascists' who have tried to paint European nationalists as 'climate deniers'). In **France**, Marine Le Pen's National Rally is heavily promoting its green credentials – for example in the city of Hénin-Beaumont, which Le Pen's party has controlled since 2014, and where all street lighting and public buildings are being converted to LED bulbs. One of the party's new MEPs, environmentalist writer Hervé Juvin, comments that "fundamentally, ecology is about people living on a territory, who are attached to it and who make plans for the long term." M. Juvin has led efforts to move the RN away from its former climate scepticism: "I'm trying to fight against that. It's changed a little. I hope to have contributed to that change."

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England



Movie Review: *The Highwaymen*

Released UK: March 2019. Production Company - Casey Silver Productions, Distributed by Netflix. Directed by: John Lee Hancock. Rated: PG-13. Running Time: 132 minutes

With nothing of interest on the big screen at either of Preston's two cinemas to make it worth the bus trip from *H&D* Towers, I had to make do with watching yet another DVD – *The Highwaymen* – for this month's movie review, again kindly sent over by one of our loyal Ulster subscribers.



In 21st-century pop culture, Bonnie Parker and Clyde Barrow are folk heroes. The gunslinging pair memorably portrayed by Warren Beatty and Faye Dunaway in Arthur Penn's now-classic 1967 movie – *Bonnie and Clyde* – were re-immortalized in a 2013 miniseries and in recent songs by Taylor Swift, Quavo, Gucci Mane and Ariana Grande. They inspired a viral rap battle and hovered over the 2017 Oscars debacle. They have come to represent the platonic "ride or die" couple: alluring anti-establishment heroes who built their own outsized personas long before Instagram and stuck it to the banks long before Occupy Wall Street.

However, *The Highwayman,* another new Netflix movie, paints them in a very different light. The movie tells the story through the eyes of the two police officers/Texas Rangers, Frank Hamer and Maney Gault (played by Kevin Costner and Woody Harrelson), who hunt them down as they leave a trail of death and wreckage across Dixie. In this version, Bonnie (played by Emily Brobst) and Clyde (played by Edward Bossert) aren't populist legends but remorseless killers who discard innocent lives for their own gain.

Both Hamer and Gault had left the Texas Rangers due to the re-election of the vehemently anti-Ranger Miriam "Ma" Ferguson (Kathy Bates) the first female governor of Texas. But following Parker and Barrow's lethal raid on the Eastham prison farm, Hamer was persuaded to accept a special commission. Technically, he was assigned to the Texas Highway Patrol; he was entrusted with bringing the fugitives to justice, ideally, a justice they would enjoy in the hereafter. In this undertaking (so to speak), he enlisted the help of his old partner Gault.

What follows is largely a tale of near misses. Bonnie and Clyde are consistently a step ahead of Hamer and Gault as the criminal's crisscross state lines, Texas, Oklahoma, Iowa and finally into Louisiana, leaving a stream of bodies in their wake. Throughout most of the movie, the outlaws themselves are seen only in glimpses, or from a distance.

It's hardly an insult to acknowledge that *The Highwaymen* is no classic on the level of the 1967 *Bonnie and Clyde.* But it is, in its way, the perfect corrective to Penn's movie. The latter, so attuned to the countercultural mood of the late 1960s, dripped with style and sex appeal in presenting its protagonists as charismatic anti-heroes. By contrast, *The Highwaymen* is, in true Western fashion, staid and direct, a story of law and order in which, if it comes down to it, order is the more important of the two outcomes. Forget the flash and glamour of youth. This is essentially the story of a grumpy old man, Hamer, who returns from vacation to discover, with horror, what the kids have been up to while he's been away. Given that what they've been up to is mass murder, this interpretation seems considerably more reasonable than the glamorization offered by Penn.

The solid script, by John Fusco (who also wrote the forever-underrated neo-Western *Thunderheart),* had kicked around Hollywood long enough that Paul Newman and Robert Redford were once considered for the leads. It's a bit of a surprise that the project lingered as long as it did, given a premise so intriguing. The fact that the director, John Lee Hancock (*The Blind Side* and *Saving Mr. Banks),* does not notably elevate the material is almost beside the point: He performs the more important duty of not screwing it up! In contrast to so many contemporary movies, *The Highwaymen* is not larded with all the unnecessary backstories, sex, love, hidden motivations, and multi-racialism.

Its very unusual days for an American – or British for that matter – movie to have an (almost) all White cast, but *The Highwaymen* does, and fairdos to John Hancock for not being bullied into including blacks, Asians or Hispanics into a movie which is about White America.

Harrelson is very good as Gault, but his role is very much to set a contrast with Costner's Hamer. Gault is the joker, the drinker, the one who sees both sides, the one who signed on largely because he had nothing else to do. The most interesting element of Harrelson's performance might be the way it brings him full circle from 1994's *Natural Born Killers*, a movie in which he played one half of a murderous, road-tripping couple explicitly inspired by Bonnie and Clyde.

Costner's portrayal of Hamer, stoic, unforgiving, sure of his own righteousness, however, gives a hint that we might have more to look forward to in the actor's post-stardom career than expected. From his peak in *The Untouchables, Field of Dreams* and *JFK,* Costner was always a bit of a square, a fuddy-duddy, a dad-type. (It's worth noting that one of his very best roles was when he was cast against type as a crook in *A Perfect World,* which was also written by Hancock.) Now that he's 64, Costner has to some degree aged into his long-standing onscreen persona. What was once painfully cloying is now merely crotchety, not ideal, perhaps, but trending in the right direction.

Indeed, if there's a principal disappointment in *The Highwaymen,* it's that rather than drilling down on Hamer (a fascinating figure), it opts for the relative security of the buddy movie. In actuality, Gault joined Hamer only toward the end of the pursuit, in time for the final, brutal shoot-out in Bienville Parish, in the north west corner of Louisiana. Until then, Hamer had mostly followed his quarry alone. It's not hard to see why Fusco and Hancock chose to bring Harrelson in as an interlocutor for their tightly wound avenger. Conveying a character's inner life with little dialogue or other interaction is a tricky exercise. But when done well, say, by Josh Brolin in *No Country for Old Men,* it can be mesmerizing. The movie-makers might have failed had they aimed higher, but the ceiling for the movie would have been raised considerably.

There is one way, however, in which *The Highwaymen* is a clear success. Penn's *Bonnie and Clyde*, in its eager celebration of violent anarchy against stultifying authority, invented a scene in which the protagonists capture and humiliate Hamer, played in that movie by Denver Pyle. (In real life, it appears that Hamer never laid eyes on Bonnie and Clyde until he helped kill them in the Louisiana ambush.) In 1971, Hamer's family successfully sued Warner Bros. for this fabrication, winning an undisclosed settlement but leaving Penn's version of the tale essentially undisturbed. *The Highwaymen* will never penetrate public consciousness the way *Bonnie and Clyde* did, nor should it. However, what ever took place between Hamer, Gault, Parker, and Barrows or at least a rough approximation is now available to anyone with access to Netflix, or a tenner for the DVD. Consider it Frank Hamer's final revenge! And as you don't get many "White" movies these days, this reviewer highly recommends it.

Reviewed by Mark Cotterill, Preston, England



BROADSWORD

The quarterly magazine of the British Movement

One year subscription – £12.00
or for a sample copy of latest issue send £3.00 to:
The Sunwheel, P.O. Box 6,
Heckmondwike, WF16 0XF

www.britishmovement.info

The Nationalist Times

The *Nationalist Times* is the monthly newspaper of Middle America. Each issue is packed with news and commentary on national and international events, politics, and the latest social trends.

A one-year subscription to *The Nationalist Times* is available for only $59; or $109 for two years (USA); $70 (Canada); $75 (Rest of World). Or send $5.00 for a sample copy to; **The Nationalist Times, PO Box 218, Wildwood, PA 15091 USA.**

Check out *The Nationalist Times* website at
www.americanfreedomunion.com
email **mail@americanfreedomunion.com**

League Sentinel

League Sentinel. Journal of the League of St George. Published quarterly. UK subscription is just £6.00 for four issues. Contact: League Enterprises Kemp House, 152-160 City Road London. EC1V 2NX . Please make cheques/postal orders payable to League Enterprises.

www.leaguestgeorge.org

THE MISSING LINK

to understanding what's really going on in this country and the world is the true identity of the Children of Light and the Children of Darkness. Have you been a Christian-Patriot for many years and found that some things just "don't add up"? The real nature of the forces of good and evil have been hidden from our people through politically correct manipulation from the government, the media, the schools, and the churches by both the so-called "right" and "left".

Learn the missing message that links it all together, clarifies the confusion, and reveals your racial destiny, by ordering a copy of the book ***Your Heritage*** for only **$2.50.** Included will be a **FREE** copy of our Doctrinal Statement of Beliefs and lists of other books, tapes, and videos opening your eyes to amazing truths that you haven't seen before.

Kingdom Identity Ministries
P.O. Box 1021
Harrison, Arkansas 72602 USA
Visit our website at: **www.kingidentity.com**

It's *great* to be White.

NATIONAL ALLIANCE • NATALL.COM

P.O. BOX 4, MOUNTAIN CITY, TN 37683, U.S.A.



In Memoriam
South Birmingham Nationalists
Lee Windridge and
Paul Hickman
"Marching with us still, in spirit in our ranks"

JOIN GIDEON'S ELITE PREPARE FOR KINGDOM SERVICE

Hear Pastor Peter J. Peters daily on WWCR shortwave radio. For a FREE newsletter with complete broadcast schedule write to:
Scriptures for America,
POB 766, LaPorte, CO 80535, USA.

24 Hours, 7 Days a week –
Daily internet streaming
www.scripturesforamerica.org

H&D Subscribers Update – November 2019

CT/JT Meeting: This year's **Colin Jordan/John Tyndall Memorial meeting,** which is being Organised by the **British Movement**, will take place in West Yorkshire, on **Saturday 23rd November**. The meeting which will start at 1pm, will be addressed by *H&D* assistant editor **Peter Rushton** as well as leading BM officials. Those *H&D* subscribers interested in attending, should ring the *H&D* office – **07833 677484** – for full details.

Remembrance Sunday: This year's Remembrance Sunday falls on **November 10th.** If you are in the London area, please try and support the annual National Front parade to the Cenotaph, in Whitehall, Westminster, London SW1A 2ET. The attendance over recent years has been poor, so please try and support it if you can, so it may continue. (form up at Bressenden Place, Victoria, SW1E at 14:15 hours and move off at 14:45 hours to march to the Cenotaph). For full details please email – **enquiries@nationalfront.org** – or call – **07539-225620**. If you are in Lancashire and would like to attend a local parade with *H&D* editor and friends, please call our office – **07833 677484** for details.

Glasgow lunch: On Wednesday 9th October, *H&D* editor **Mark Cotterill** spoke at another successful subscribers' lunch, which was held in Glasgow city centre, and was attended by Nationalists from East Kilbride, Greenock, Paisley and all over Glasgow. Another such lunch/meeting will be held in the Spring.

TBG Conference: The TGB held another very successful conference in London on Saturday 19th October, which was attended by over 150 patriots, including a number of *H&D* subscribers. A full report will appear in the next issue of H&D. Many thanks to one of our Yorkshire subscribers who sold over **30 copies of *H&D*** at the conference.

Letters, reviews and articles: Thank you again to those readers who send in articles, reviews and letters. At the moment we are still OK for book reviews – and we have had to hold back quite a few more excellent reviews until issues 94+95 and beyond, including; *Mad Hatter* by **Amanda Gale**, to be reviewed by Robert Best; *Our Man In New Year* by Henry Hemming; And *Scotland Yard's Gangbuster: Bert Wickstead's Most Celebrated Cases* by **Dick Kirby**, both to be reviewed by Peter Rushton and *The Strange Career of Mr. Hoover Under Two Flags* by **John Hamill –** to be reviewed by Bancroft Hall**:** *Does Your Rabbi Know You're Here?* by **Anthony Clavane**, to be reviewed by Gil Caldwell and *False Flags Over Europe – A Modern History of State-Fabricated Terror* by **Dr Nicholas Kollerstrom** – to be reviewed by Dr James B Thring. Again, we could really do with more, movie, theatre, TV, and music (CD or live concert) reviews from different writers. We are not promising that everything you may write will get published, but we welcome the emergence of budding writers. So, come on get writing and give it a go. Alternatively, why not send us articles of interest that we can base articles upon? If you can email them to us at – **heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com** – then that's all the better. However, if you are not online, please send neatly typed; single sided to – **The Editor, H&D, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE.**

H&D Polo shirts: We still have a number of H&D polo shirts, which feature the ***H&D*** Three Lions logo in a circle, with the words "HERITAGE AND DESTINY" at the top, and "FAITH FOLK FAMILY" at the bottom are back in stock. The shirts, which come in black or white, and come in a whole range of sizes from Small to XXXL, would make great Christmas/Yuletide gifts. These high-quality shirts were designed and made in Preston, Lancashire (not the Far East as some nationalist parties shamefully do). To order yours please send a cheque/Postal Order **for £20.00 (per shirt)** – which includes UK postage to **Heritage and Destiny, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE** (or you can pay by BACs) - Please state clearly the colour and size of shirt you require. These shirts are also available to overseas subscribers, who should call or email us first for postal rates to their country.

Payments to *H&D:* As many of you will know PayPal closed down our main "Heritage and Destiny" account, and secondary "England First" account, without any warning or explanation, such is life. You can however still pay by Cheques (including US Dollar checks, drawn from an American bank), Postal-Money Orders, cash (sent recorded/registered/signed for) or via Bank Transfer (Bacs) to; Bank – **NatWest**; Account Name **Heritage and Destiny;** – Account number – **14144034**; Sort – **010085:** (Overseas subscribers may need additional BIC codes. If you have any problems/questions regarding subscriptions, donations or sales payments please contact our office.

Magazines for Sale: Many issues of *Spearhead, Britain First* (original, 1972-1977 version), *Patriot, Candour, The Occidental Quarterly, American Renaissance* and other nationalist, dissident and non-conformist publications. For a full list please e-mail **bloomsburyforum@gmail.com** or send a SAE. **to P. O. Box 63718, London, SW3 9AT.**

Magazines Wanted: *Spearhead;* No's 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19: *League Review*; No's 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12: *The Rune*; No's 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9: Lee Rigby badge: Skrewdriver CD's. British National Socialism A History DVD (by Mad Dogs and Englishmen); *Marco Polo* magazine; *National Vanguard* magazine (National Alliance USA): Contact: **BDL c/o H&D, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE**, or email – **heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com** - stating your price and condition of the magazines for sale.